Mid-Semester Exam on Quantum Field Theory I

Devised by Jürgen Berges, written on 10.12.2014

Solutions by Janosh Riebesell, 23.01.2015

Problem 1 (5 points)

Consider a free complex scalar field $\varphi(x)$ with action

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left(\partial^\mu \varphi^\dagger \partial_\mu \varphi - m^2 \varphi^\dagger \varphi\right), \quad \varphi = \varphi(x) \text{ and } \varphi^\dagger = \varphi^\dagger(x). \tag{1}$$

a) Derive the equation of motion for $\varphi(x)$.

Equations of motion can be deduced from a system's action S by applying to it Hamilton's principle of stationary action $\delta S = 0$. Hamilton's principle states that of all possible evolutions of a system, the (only) true evolution $\varphi(t, \vec{x}) = \varphi(x)$ is the one which lies at a stationary point of the action functional $S[\varphi(x)]$, i.e. a point where the variation $\delta S[\varphi(x)]$ with respect to φ and $\partial_\mu \varphi$, subject to $\delta\varphi|_{\text{boundary}} = \delta\partial_\mu\varphi|_{\text{boundary}} = 0$, is zero. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \overset{!}{=} \delta S &= \delta\left(\int \mathrm{d}^4x\, \mathcal{L}(\varphi, \partial_\mu\varphi)\right) = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\varphi}\delta\varphi + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}\delta\partial_\mu\varphi\right) \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\varphi}\delta\varphi + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}\partial_\mu\delta\varphi\right) = \underbrace{\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}\delta\varphi\,|_{\text{boundary}}}_{0} + \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\varphi} - \partial_\mu\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}\right)\delta\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Since the variation of φ is in general nonzero throughout spacetime, for the above to hold requires

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\varphi} - \partial_\mu\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)} = 0.$$

Inserting the Lagrangian in brackets in (1) into this expression and varying with respect to $\varphi^\dagger$, since we are tasked to find the equation of motion for φ, results in

$$-m^2\varphi - \partial_\mu(\partial^\mu\varphi) = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad (\partial^2 + m^2)\varphi = 0.$$

b) Derive the expression for the canonically conjugate momentum density to $\varphi(x)$ and compute the Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}$.

The canonically conjugate momentum density is defined as

$$\pi(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_t\varphi(x))} = \partial_t\varphi^\dagger(x) = \dot{\varphi}^\dagger(x).$$

The Hamiltonian density in turn is defined as

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_i \pi_i(x)\dot{\varphi}_i(x) - \mathcal{L},$$

where the sum runs over all independent pairs of conjugate fields and momentum densities. Since the complex scalar field has two degrees of freedom, $\varphi(x)$ and $\varphi^\dagger(x)$, this sum contributes two terms:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &= \pi\dot{\varphi} + \pi^\dagger\dot{\varphi}^\dagger - \partial^\mu\varphi^\dagger\partial_\mu\varphi + m^2\varphi^\dagger\varphi \\ &= \big(\partial_t\varphi^\dagger\big)\dot{\varphi} + (\partial_t\varphi)\dot{\varphi}^\dagger - \partial_t\varphi^\dagger\partial_t\varphi + \nabla\varphi^\dagger\cdot\nabla\varphi + m^2\varphi^\dagger\varphi \\ &= \pi^\dagger\pi + \nabla\varphi^\dagger\cdot\nabla\varphi + m^2\varphi^\dagger\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

c) The mode expansion for $\varphi(x)$ takes the form

$$\varphi(x) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}}\big(a(p)e^{-ipx} + b^\dagger(p)e^{ipx}\big). \tag{2}$$

Make an ansatz for the commutation relations of the modes $a(p)$, $a^\dagger(p)$, $b(p)$ and $b^\dagger(p)$ such that when you explicitly compute the equal-time commutator $\big[\varphi(t,\vec{x}),\dot{\varphi}^\dagger(t,\vec{y})\big]$ you obtain the right result for canonical quantization.

Quantization is performed by promoting the fields $\varphi(x)$ and $\varphi^\dagger(x)$ to operators acting on states in a system's Hilbert space. This process is considered canonical when the resulting operators fulfill a certain commutation relation, namely

$$\big[\varphi(t,\vec{x}),\dot{\varphi}^\dagger(t,\vec{y})\big] = i\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}).$$

To achieve this relation, we impose the following commutators for the creation and annihilation operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\big[a(p),a^\dagger(q)\big] = \big[b(p),b^\dagger(q)\big] &= (2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}), \\ [a(p),a(q)] = \big[a^\dagger(p),a^\dagger(q)\big] = [b(p),b(q)] = \big[b^\dagger(p),b^\dagger(q)\big] &= \underbrace{\cdots}_{\text{other permutations}} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Remark: These commutators need not be guessed. They can be computed by carrying over the creation and annihilation commutation relations from the real free scalar field and writing $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_1 + ia_2)$, $b^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(a_1^\dagger + ia_2^\dagger\big)$.

Inserting (2) and the mode expansion for $\dot{\varphi}^\dagger(t,\vec{y})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\varphi}^\dagger(t,\vec{y}) &= \partial_t\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_q}}\big(a^\dagger(q)e^{iqy} + b(q)e^{-iqy}\big) \\ &= i\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\sqrt{\frac{E_q}{2}}\big(a^\dagger(q)e^{iqy} - b(q)e^{-iqy}\big),\end{aligned}$$

into the equal-time commutator yields

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\varphi(t,\vec{x}),\dot{\varphi}^\dagger(t,\vec{y})\big] &= i\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}}e^{-ipx}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\sqrt{\frac{E_q}{2}}e^{iqy}\big[a(p)+b^\dagger(-p),a^\dagger(q)-b(-q)\big] \\ &= \frac{i}{2}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\sqrt{\frac{E_q}{E_p}}e^{i(qy-px)}\big(\big[a(p),a^\dagger(q)\big] - \underbrace{[a(p),b(-q)]}_{0} + \overbrace{\big[b^\dagger(-p),a^\dagger(q)\big]}^{0} - \big[b^\dagger(-p),b(-q)\big]\big) \\ &= \frac{i}{2}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\sqrt{\frac{E_q}{E_p}}e^{i(qy-px)}\big((2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}) + (2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(-\vec{p}+\vec{q})\big) \\ &= i\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}e^{ip(y-x)} = i\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}e^{iE_p(t-t)-i\vec{p}\cdot(\vec{y}-\vec{x})} = i\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}e^{i\vec{p}\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} \\ &= i\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}).\end{aligned}$$

d) Show that the action (1) is invariant under the transformation

$$\varphi(x) \to e^{i\alpha}\varphi(x), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

and derive the associated Noether current and the Noether charge Q in terms of the fundamental fields. *Hint:* $Q = \int \mathrm{d}^3x\, j^0(x)$ and $j^\mu(x) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi)}\delta\varphi - F^\mu$.

$$S' = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \,(\partial^\mu\varphi^\dagger(x)\underbrace{e^{-i\alpha}\partial_\mu e^{i\alpha}}_{\partial_\mu}\varphi(x) - m^2\varphi^\dagger(x)\underbrace{e^{-i\alpha}e^{i\alpha}}_{1}\varphi(x)) = S.$$

Since $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ generates a continuous symmetry, we can Taylor expand the transformed fields in terms of infinitesimal variations of the original fields, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x) \to \varphi'(x,\alpha) &= \varphi(x) + \alpha\delta\varphi(x) + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\delta^2\varphi(x) + \frac{\alpha^3}{3!}\delta^3\varphi(x) + \cdots \\ &= \varphi(x) + \alpha\delta\varphi(x) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi'(x,\alpha) = e^{i\alpha}\varphi(x) = \Big(1 + i\alpha + \frac{(i\alpha)^2}{2} + \cdots\Big)\varphi = \varphi + \alpha i\varphi - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\varphi + \cdots$, we can deduce $\delta\varphi(x) = i\varphi(x)$, and similarly $\delta\varphi^\dagger(x) = -i\varphi^\dagger(x)$.

After the transformation, the Lagrangian depends on the new fields and therefore on the transformation parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, it too can be expanded in α:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}' &= \mathcal{L} + \alpha\delta\mathcal{L} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\delta^2\mathcal{L} + \frac{\alpha^3}{3!}\delta^3\mathcal{L} + \cdots \\ &= \mathcal{L} + \alpha\delta\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2).\end{aligned}$$

However, since we already know that the Lagrangian as well as the action remain unchanged by the transformation, it follows that

$$\delta^n\mathcal{L} = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We now have all the necessary parts to assemble the Noether current j^μ associated with this transformation:

$$j^\mu = \sum_{\text{d.o.f.}} \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}\delta\varphi - F^\mu = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi)}\delta\varphi + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\varphi^\dagger)}\delta\varphi^\dagger - F^\mu = \big(\partial^\mu\varphi^\dagger\big)i\varphi - (\partial^\mu\varphi)i\varphi^\dagger - F^\mu,$$

where F^μ, due to $\partial_\mu F^\mu := \delta\mathcal{L} = 0$, is just a constant which can be set to zero, i.e.

$$j^\mu = i\big(\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi^\dagger - \varphi^\dagger\partial^\mu\varphi\big).$$

The charge then follows as

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q &= \int \mathrm{d}^3x\, j^0(x) = i\int \mathrm{d}^3x\,(\varphi\partial^0\varphi^\dagger - \varphi^\dagger\partial^0\varphi) = i\int \mathrm{d}^3x\,(\varphi\partial_t\varphi^\dagger - \varphi^\dagger\partial_t\varphi)\\
&= i\int \mathrm{d}^3x \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{e^{-ipx}}{\sqrt{2E_p}}\, i\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\sqrt{\frac{E_q}{2}}\, e^{iqx}\big(\big[a(p)+b^\dagger(-p)\big]\big[a^\dagger(q)-b(-q)\big] + \big[a^\dagger(p)+b(-p)\big]\big[a(q)-b^\dagger(-q)\big]\big)\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\sqrt{\frac{E_q}{E_p}}\int \mathrm{d}^3x\, e^{i(q-p)x}\big(a(p)a^\dagger(q) - a(p)b(-q) + b^\dagger(-p)a^\dagger(q) - b^\dagger(-p)b(-q)\\
&\qquad\qquad +a^\dagger(p)a(q) - a^\dagger(p)b^\dagger(-q) + b(-p)a(q) - b(-p)b^\dagger(-q)\big)\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\sqrt{\frac{E_q}{E_p}}\,(2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\vec{q}-\vec{p})e^{i(E_q-E_p)t}(\cdots)\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\big(a(p)a^\dagger(p) - \underline{a(p)b(-p)} + \underline{b^\dagger(-p)a^\dagger(p)} - b^\dagger(-p)b(-p)\\
&\qquad\qquad +a^\dagger(p)a(p) - \underline{a^\dagger(p)b^\dagger(-p)} + \underline{b(-p)a(p)} - b(-p)b^\dagger(-p)\big),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the mixed terms cancel pairwise because a and b operators commute. Normal ordering with the commutators from part c) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q &= -\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\big(a^\dagger(p)a(p) + (2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(0) - b^\dagger(p)b(p) + a^\dagger(p)a(p) - b^\dagger(p)b(p) - (2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(0)\big)\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\big(2a^\dagger(p)a(p) - 2b^\dagger(p)b(p)\big) = -\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\big(a^\dagger(p)a(p) - b^\dagger(p)b(p)\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

e) The expression for the Noether charge Q in terms of the modes reads (in some overall normalization)

$$
Q = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\big(a^\dagger(p)a(p) - b^\dagger(p)b(p)\big).
$$

Compute the charge, i.e. the eigenvalue with respect to Q, of the states $a^\dagger(p)\,|0\rangle$ and $b^\dagger(p)\,|0\rangle$.

Since $a^\dagger(p)\,|0\rangle$ and $b^\dagger(p)\,|0\rangle$ are states consisting of just one particle and antiparticle, respectively, one would expect their charges to be 1 and -1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Qa^\dagger(p)\,|0\rangle &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\big(a^\dagger(q)a(q) - b^\dagger(q)b(q)\big)a^\dagger(p)\,|0\rangle\\
&= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\big(a^\dagger(q)\big(a^\dagger(p)a(q) + (2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\vec{q}-\vec{p})\big) - a^\dagger(p)b^\dagger(q)b(q)\big)\,|0\rangle\\
&= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\,a^\dagger(q)(2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\vec{q}-\vec{p})\,|0\rangle = +a^\dagger(p)\,|0\rangle,\\
Qb^\dagger(p)\,|0\rangle &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\big(a^\dagger(q)a(q) - b^\dagger(q)b(q)\big)b^\dagger(p)\,|0\rangle\\
&= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\big(b^\dagger(p)a^\dagger(q)a(q) - b^\dagger(q)\big(b^\dagger(p)b(q) + (2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\vec{q}-\vec{p})\big)\big)\,|0\rangle\\
&= -\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\,b^\dagger(q)(2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\vec{q}-\vec{p})\,|0\rangle = -b^\dagger(p)\,|0\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used $a(q)\,|0\rangle = b(q)\,|0\rangle = 0$ both times in the second-to-last step.

Problem 2 (5 points)

This time consider the real scalar field $\varphi(x)$.

a) Show that the time-ordered product $T\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)$ and the normal-ordered product $:\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2):$ are both symmetric under the interchange of x_1 and x_2.

$$T\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2) \text{ if } x_1^0 > x_2^0 \\ \varphi(x_2)\varphi(x_1) \text{ if } x_2^0 > x_1^0 \end{cases} = T\varphi(x_2)\varphi(x_1).$$

Splitting the field into its annihilation and creation parts, $\varphi = \varphi^+ + \varphi^-$, and moving all creation parts to the left,

$$\begin{aligned} :\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2): &= :[\varphi^+(x_1) + \varphi^-(x_1)][\varphi^+(x_2) + \varphi^-(x_2)]: \\ &= :\varphi^+(x_1)\varphi^+(x_2): + :\varphi^+(x_1)\varphi^-(x_2): + :\varphi^-(x_1)\varphi^+(x_2): + :\varphi^-(x_1)\varphi^-(x_2): \\ &= \varphi^+(x_1)\varphi^+(x_2) + \varphi^-(x_2)\varphi^+(x_1) + \varphi^-(x_1)\varphi^+(x_2) + \varphi^-(x_1)\varphi^-(x_2), \\ :\varphi(x_2)\varphi(x_1): &= \varphi^+(x_2)\varphi^+(x_1) + \varphi^-(x_1)\varphi^+(x_2) + \varphi^-(x_2)\varphi^+(x_1) + \varphi^-(x_2)\varphi^-(x_1), \end{aligned}$$

which agree since φ^+ commutes with φ^+ and φ^- with φ^-. □

b) Deduce that the Feynman propagator, $D_F(x_1 - x_2)$, has the same symmetry property.

$$D_F(x_1 - x_2) \coloneqq \langle 0 \mid T\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2) \mid 0\rangle \overset{\text{a)}}{=} \langle 0 \mid T\varphi(x_2)\varphi(x_1) \mid 0\rangle = D_F(x_2 - x_1).$$

c) Check Wick's theorem for the case of three scalar fields,

$$\begin{aligned} T\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)\varphi(x_3) = &:\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)\varphi(x_3): + \varphi(x_1)D_F(x_2 - x_3) \\ &+\varphi(x_2)D_F(x_3 - x_1) + \varphi(x_3)D_F(x_1 - x_2). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

For brevity, instead of $\varphi(x_1)$, $\varphi(x_2)$ and $\varphi(x_3)$ we write φ_1, φ_2 and φ_3. Now assuming $x_1^0 \geq x_2^0 \geq x_3^0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} T\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3 = \varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3 &= (\varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^-)(\varphi_2^+ + \varphi_2^-)(\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_3^-) \\ &= \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^- + \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^- \\ &\quad +\varphi_1^-\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^- + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^-. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} &:\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3: + \varphi_1 D_F(x_2 - x_3) + \varphi_2 D_F(x_3 - x_1) + \varphi_3 D_F(x_1 - x_2) \\ &= \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_3^-\varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+ + \varphi_2^-\varphi_1^+\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_2^-\varphi_3^-\varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_3^-\varphi_2^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^- \\ &\quad +(\varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^-)[\varphi_2^+, \varphi_3^-] + (\varphi_2^+ + \varphi_2^-)[\varphi_1^+, \varphi_3^-] + (\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_3^-)[\varphi_1^+, \varphi_2^-], \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$D_F(x_1 - x_2) = \langle 0 \mid T\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2) \mid 0\rangle = \begin{cases} [\varphi^+(x_1), \varphi^-(x_2)] \text{ for } x_1^0 > x_2^0 \\ [\varphi^+(x_2), \varphi^-(x_1)] \text{ for } x_2^0 > x_1^0. \end{cases}$$

Making use of the fact that the commutators of the free modes $\varphi^+(x)$ and $\varphi^-(y)$ are simply c-numbers, i.e.

$$[\varphi^+(x),\varphi^-(y)] = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{e^{-ipx}}{\sqrt{2E_p}}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{e^{iqy}}{\sqrt{2E_q}}\underbrace{[a(p),a^\dagger(q)]}_{(2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}-\vec{q})} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{e^{-ip(x-y)}}{2E_p} = D(x-y),$$

we can move them past any free mode. Writing out each commutator, $\left[\varphi_i^+,\varphi_j^-\right] = \varphi_i^+\varphi_j^- - \varphi_j^-\varphi_i^+$, the second terms cancel the normal-ordered products of the first line that have a creation part to the left of an annihilation part, and the first terms restore them in time order (cancelling pairs underlined in matching colors):

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_3^-\varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+ + \varphi_2^-\varphi_1^+\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_2^-\varphi_3^-\varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_3^-\varphi_2^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^- \\
&\quad +\varphi_1^+[\varphi_2^+,\varphi_3^-] + \varphi_1^-[\varphi_2^+,\varphi_3^-] + [\varphi_1^+,\varphi_3^-]\varphi_2^+ + \varphi_2^-[\varphi_1^+,\varphi_3^-] + [\varphi_1^+,\varphi_2^-]\varphi_3^+ + [\varphi_1^+,\varphi_2^-]\varphi_3^- \\
&= \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^+ + \underline{\varphi_3^-\varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+} + \underline{\varphi_2^-\varphi_1^+\varphi_3^+} + \underline{\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^-\varphi_1^+} + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^+ + \underline{\varphi_1^-\varphi_3^-\varphi_2^+} + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^- \\
&\quad +\varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^- - \underline{\varphi_1^+\varphi_3^-\varphi_2^+} + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^- - \underline{\varphi_1^-\varphi_3^-\varphi_2^+} + \underline{\varphi_1^+\varphi_3^-\varphi_2^+} - \underline{\varphi_3^-\varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+} \\
&\quad + \underline{\varphi_2^-\varphi_1^+\varphi_3^-} - \underline{\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^-\varphi_1^+} + \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^+ - \underline{\varphi_2^-\varphi_1^+\varphi_3^+} + \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^- - \underline{\varphi_2^-\varphi_1^+\varphi_3^-} \\
&= \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^- + \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^- + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^- + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^-\varphi_3^- \\
&= (\varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^-)\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_1^-\varphi_2^-(\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_3^-) + (\varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^-)\varphi_2^+\varphi_3^- + \varphi_1^+\varphi_2^-(\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_3^-) \\
&= \varphi_1\varphi_2^+(\varphi_3^+ + \varphi_3^-) + (\varphi_1^+ + \varphi_1^-)\varphi_2^-\varphi_3 = \varphi_1\varphi_2^+\varphi_3 + \varphi_1\varphi_2^-\varphi_3 = \varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3 = T\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Since we have shown in parts a) and b) that both the normal-ordered product and the Feynman propagator are symmetric under the exchange of arguments, this result has to hold for any time ordering, e.g. $T\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3 = \varphi_2\varphi_1\varphi_3$, i.e. without the initial assumption $x_1^0 > x_2^0 > x_3^0$.

Now consider an interacting real scalar field theory with Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi - \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\varphi^4.$$

d) Examine $\langle 0 \mid S \mid 0\rangle$ to order λ^2 in this theory and identify the different diagrams that arise from an application of Wick's theorem. *Hint:* $\langle 0 \mid S \mid 0\rangle = \langle 0 \mid T\exp\left[-i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}t\,H_I(t)\right] \mid 0\rangle$.

To expand the expression given as a hint to second order in the coupling constant λ, we first need a relation between $H_I(t)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{\lambda}{4!}\varphi^4$. Differing from what one might expect from the definitions of interaction picture operators such as

$$\varphi_I(t,\vec{x}) := e^{iH_0(t-t_0)}\varphi(t_0,\vec{x})e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_I(t,\vec{x}) := e^{iH_0(t-t_0)}\pi(t_0,\vec{x})e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)},$$

$H_I(t)$ is not equal to $e^{iH_0(t-t_0)}H(t_0)e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)}$ but rather

$$H_I(t) := e^{iH_0(t-t_0)}H_{\text{int}}(t_0)e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)}, \quad \text{where} \quad H(t) = H_0(t) + H_{\text{int}}(t).$$

Now since $L = L_0 + L_{\text{int}}$ and $H = \int \mathrm{d}^3x\,\pi(t,\vec{x})\dot{\varphi}(t,\vec{x}) - L_0 - L_{\text{int}} = H_0 + H_{\text{int}}$, we can see that $H_{\text{int}} = -L_{\text{int}}$, i.e. performing a Legendre transformation to move from the Lagrangian to the Hamiltonian does not introduce new interaction terms as long as the Lagrangian does not contain interactions with time derivatives of the field. Otherwise

$$\pi(t,\vec{x}) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\varphi}(t,\vec{x})}$$

would contain higher powers of the field than just the first. We now have the necessary relation

$$H_I = \int \mathrm{d}^3x\,e^{iH_0(t-t_0)}(-\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}})e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} = \int \mathrm{d}^3x\,e^{iH_0(t-t_0)}\frac{\lambda}{4!}\varphi^4 e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)}$$

$$= \int \mathrm{d}^3x\, \frac{\lambda}{4!}\, e^{iH_0(t-t_0)}\varphi e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} e^{iH_0(t-t_0)}\varphi e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} \ldots e^{iH_0(t-t_0)}\varphi e^{-iH_0(t-t_0)} = \int \mathrm{d}^3x\, \frac{\lambda}{4!}\, \varphi_I^4$$


and can expand $\langle 0 \mid S \mid 0\rangle$ into

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0 \mid S \mid 0\rangle &= \langle 0 \mid T\mathbb{1} \mid 0\rangle + \langle 0 \mid T\left(-i\,\frac{\lambda}{4!}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\,\varphi^4\right) \mid 0\rangle + \langle 0 \mid T\,\frac{1}{2}\left(-i\,\frac{\lambda}{4!}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\,\varphi^4\right)^2 \mid 0\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \\ &= 1 - i\,\frac{\lambda}{4!}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\,\langle 0 \mid T\varphi^4(x) \mid 0\rangle - \frac{\lambda^2}{2\cdot 4!^2}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \int \mathrm{d}^4y\,\langle 0 \mid T\varphi^4(x)\varphi^4(y) \mid 0\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3).\end{aligned}$$

To find the Feynman diagrams these terms represent, we should be aware that we are looking at vacuum-to-vacuum processes without external particles. Therefore, when applying Wick's theorem, we need all fields to be contracted. We first look at $\langle 0 \mid T\varphi^4(x) \mid 0\rangle$. Its normal-ordered part has vanishing vacuum expectation value, and the four fields can be fully contracted in 3 ways:


$$\langle 0 \mid T\varphi^4(x) \mid 0\rangle = \underbrace{\langle 0 \mid :\varphi^4(x): \mid 0\rangle}_{0} + 3D_F(0)^2 = 3$$  $$\frac{1}{S} = \frac{3}{4!} = \frac{1}{8}.$$

The second-order term gives, with $9 + 72 + 24 = 105 = 7!!$ full contractions of eight fields,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0 \mid T\varphi^4(x)\varphi^4(y) \mid 0\rangle &= 9D_F(0)^4 + 72D_F(0)^2D_F(x-y)^2 + 24D_F(x-y)^4 \\ &= 9$$  $$\end{aligned}$$

with symmetry factors $\frac{1}{S} = \frac{9}{2\cdot 4!^2} = \frac{1}{128}$, $\frac{72}{2\cdot 4!^2} = \frac{1}{16}$ and $\frac{24}{2\cdot 4!^2} = \frac{1}{48}$, respectively.

e) Confirm that, to order λ^2, the combinatorial (symmetry) factors work out such that the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude is given by the exponential sum of distinct vacuum bubble types,

$$\langle 0 \mid S \mid 0\rangle = \exp\left($$  $$\right).$$

Combining all diagrams and their combinatorial factors found in d) into a sum,

$$\langle 0 \mid S \mid 0\rangle = 1 + (-i\lambda)\left(\frac{1}{8}$$  $$\right) + \frac{(-i\lambda)^2}{2}\left(\frac{1}{8}$$ $$\right)^2 + \frac{(-i\lambda)^2}{2}\left(\frac{1}{8}$$ $$\right) + \frac{(-i\lambda)^2}{2}\left(\frac{1}{24}$$ $$\right) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3),$$

which agrees to order λ^2 with the expansion of the exponential, $\exp(X) = 1 + X + \frac{X^2}{2} + \cdots$, where each bubble type X carries its factor $-i\,\frac{\lambda}{S}$ and the square of the first-order bubble reproduces the disconnected diagram.