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## 1 RNS Superstring

The Polyakov action for an $n$-dimensional membrane in flat $d$-dimensional spacetime is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{P}}[X]=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d}^{n} \sigma \sqrt{-h} h^{a b} \partial_{a} X^{\mu} \partial_{b} X_{\mu}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h=\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{h})$.
a) Show how $S_{\mathrm{P}}[X]$ transforms under the Weyl rescaling

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{a b} \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}(\sigma) h^{a b} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and argue why the string as a one dimensional object is special in the sense that higher dimensional objects will not lead to a similar theoretical structure.
b) Interpret the appearance of a tachyon from a field theoretic perspective.
c) What is a Majorana spinor?

Consider the RNS superstring action in conformal gauge

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{RNS}}=-\frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} \sigma\left(\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{a} X^{\mu} \partial^{a} X_{\mu}+2 i \bar{\psi}^{\mu} \gamma^{a} \partial_{a} \psi_{\mu}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi^{\mu}$ is a $d$-plet of Majorana fermions and the matrices $\gamma^{a}, a \in\{0,1\} \equiv\{\tau, \sigma\}$ are given by

$$
\gamma^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{4}\\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \gamma^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

With respect to this basis write $\psi^{\mu}=\left(\psi_{+}^{\mu}, \psi_{-}^{\mu}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Let $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon_{+}, \epsilon_{-}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ be an anticommuting infinitesimal Majorana spinor.
d) Introduce lightcone coordinates $\xi^{ \pm}=\tau \pm \sigma$ and show that the closed string action $S_{\text {RNS }}$ is invariant under the SUSY transformation

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\delta X^{\mu}=i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2}} \bar{\epsilon} \psi^{\mu}, \\
\delta \psi^{\mu}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \gamma^{a} \partial_{a} X^{\mu} \epsilon . \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

Hint: Use the equation of motion and the chiral condition $\gamma^{b} \gamma^{a} \partial_{b} \epsilon(\xi)=0$.

Consider the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \delta \psi_{+}-\left.\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \delta \psi_{-}\right|_{\sigma=0} \stackrel{!}{=} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \delta \psi_{+}-\left.\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \delta \psi_{-}\right|_{\sigma=l} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

e) State the two string solutions corresponding to these boundaries.
f) Give the four sectors of these boundaries and name if they are bosonic or fermionic.
a) There are only two quantities in the Polyakov action (1) affected by the Weyl rescaling (2): The metric $h^{a b}$ itself and its determinant $h$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-h} \xrightarrow{(2)} \sqrt{-h^{\prime}}=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{h}^{\prime}\right)}=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}[\Omega(\sigma) \boldsymbol{h}]}=\Omega^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\sigma) \sqrt{\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{h})}=\Omega^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\sigma) \sqrt{-h} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the whole action Weyl rescales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{P}}[X] \xrightarrow{(2)} S_{b}^{\prime}[X]=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d}^{n} \sigma \Omega^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\sigma) \sqrt{-h} \Omega^{-1} h^{a b} \partial_{a} X^{\mu} \partial_{b} X_{\mu} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Precisely for the case $n=1$ of a one-dimensional membrane, i.e. a string, do we recover the original Polyakov action. In this sense, strings are special as their theory enjoys symmetries not encountered with higher-dimensional objects.
b) The tachyonic ground state encountered in bosonic string theory is entirely due to the normalordering ambiguity that arises when moving from the classical to the quantum theory.
It is important to note here that quantum fields with tachyonic states are not at all inconsistent. They merely indicate an instability of the vacuum.

Note: A confirmed real-world example of a quantum field that assumes tachyonic states is the scalar Higgs field $\phi$. Its potential is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(|\phi|)=\lambda\left(|\phi|^{2}-v\right)^{2}, \quad \phi \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda, v \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which looks like


As the image illustrates, the field is unstable at $\phi=0$ and will, at the slightest perturbation, condense into its true vacuum. Setting the derivative equal to zero,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{|\phi|} V(|\phi|)=4 \lambda|\phi|\left(|\phi|^{2}-v\right) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow|\phi|=0 \text { or }|\phi|=\sqrt{v} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that the vacuum lies anywhere on the circle $|\phi|=\sqrt{v}$. From the sketch, we know that the other extremum at $|\phi|=0$ is a maximum, rendering the second derivative, and consequently the mass of the field at this point, negative.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.m_{\phi}\right|_{\phi=0}=\left.\partial_{|\phi|}^{2} V(|\phi|)\right|_{\phi=0}=12 \lambda|\phi|^{2}-\left.4 \lambda v\right|_{\phi=0}=-4 \lambda v<0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is at this point still unclear if the same occurs in string theory in the sense that the bosonic string is related, by tachyon condensation, to the superstring theory.
c) A Majorana spinor $\psi$ is one that fulfills the Majorana condition $\psi^{*}=\psi$, i.e. is real.
d) The Jacobian of the transformation $\binom{\tau}{\sigma} \rightarrow\binom{\xi^{+}}{\xi^{-}}=\binom{\tau+\sigma}{\tau-\sigma}$ from worldsheet to lightcone coordinates has determinant

$$
|\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{J})|=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{\tau} \xi^{+} & \partial_{\sigma} \xi^{+}  \tag{13}\\
\partial_{\tau} \xi^{-} & \partial_{\sigma} \xi^{-}
\end{array}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\right|=2 .
$$

Hence, $\partial_{\tau} \pm \partial_{-}=2 \partial_{\xi^{ \pm}} \equiv 2 \partial_{ \pm}$and the bosonic term in the RNS action (3) becomes ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{a} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial^{a} \boldsymbol{X} & =\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial^{\tau} \boldsymbol{X}+\partial_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial^{\sigma} \boldsymbol{X}=\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot h^{\tau b} \partial_{b} \boldsymbol{X}+\partial_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot h^{\sigma b} \partial_{b} \boldsymbol{X} \\
\quad h & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& \stackrel{\downarrow}{=}-\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{X}+\partial_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{X}=-\left(\partial_{\tau}+\partial_{\sigma}\right) \boldsymbol{X} \cdot\left(\partial_{\tau}-\partial_{\sigma}\right) \boldsymbol{X}=-4 \partial_{+} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{X},
\end{align*}
$$

while for the fermionic term, we get

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\overline{\boldsymbol{\psi}} \gamma^{a} \cdot \partial_{a} \boldsymbol{\psi} & =\overline{\boldsymbol{\psi}} \gamma^{\tau} \cdot \partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\psi}+\overline{\boldsymbol{\psi}} \gamma^{\sigma} \cdot \partial_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\psi} \\
& \stackrel{(16)}{=} \overbrace{\left(-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)}^{\left(-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+},-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right)}
\end{array}\right) \cdot \partial_{\tau}\binom{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}}{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}}+\overbrace{\left(-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{15}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)}^{\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+,-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right)} \cdot \partial_{\sigma}\binom{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}}{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}}
$$

where we used in the second step that since the $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ are Majorana spinors, we have

$$
\bar{\psi}^{\mu}=\boldsymbol{\psi}^{\dagger} \gamma^{0}=\boldsymbol{\psi}^{\mathrm{T}} \gamma^{0}=\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{16}\\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}\right) .
$$

Inserting eqs. (14) and (15) into eq. (3), we obtain the RNS action in lightcone coordinates,

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{RNS}}[\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\psi}] & =-\frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{\Sigma}\left(2 \mathrm{~d} \xi^{+} \mathrm{d} \xi^{-}\right)\left[\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(-4 \partial_{+} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{X}\right)+2 i\left(-2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \cdot \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}-2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \cdot \partial_{+} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \xi^{+} \mathrm{d} \xi^{-}\left[\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{+} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{X}+i\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \cdot \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}+\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \cdot \partial_{+} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right)\right] \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Note: Bosons and fermions have mass dimensions $[X]=-1$ and $[\psi]=\frac{1}{2}$, respectively, explaining the relative factor of $\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}$. Also, the objects $\psi_{ \pm}$are Majorana-Weyl spinors, meaning they are both real and chiral, where chiral in this case refers to having a definite eigenvalue of $\pm 1$ w.r.t. the operator $\gamma \equiv \gamma^{0} \gamma^{1}$. Such Majorana-Weyl spinors exist only in $2 \bmod 8$ dimensions.

Since to obtain the RNS action (3), we simply added the canonical kinetic term for Majorana fermions to that of the free boson, the bosonic e.o.m. remains unchanged,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{RNS}}}{\delta \boldsymbol{X}}=-\frac{1}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{+} \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{X} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On-shell fermions, on the other hand, are governed by the Dirac equation $\gamma^{a} \partial_{a} \boldsymbol{\psi}=0$, which i.t.o. of the Majorana-Weyl spinors reads,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{RNS}}}{\delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}}=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \stackrel{!}{=} 0, \quad \frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{RNS}}}{\delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}}=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \partial_{+} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \stackrel{!}{=} 0, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Thus the $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}$are chiral also in the sense that they are functions of $\xi^{ \pm}$only.
We now have all the tools to show that the RNS action is invariant under the combined transformation of eqs. (5) and (6). Broken into chiral and antichiral components, these read

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \boldsymbol{X} & =i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\psi} \stackrel{(16)}{=} i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2}}\left(-\epsilon_{-}, \epsilon_{+}\right)\binom{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}}{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}}=i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2}}\left(\epsilon_{+} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}-\epsilon_{-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}\right),  \tag{20}\\
\delta \boldsymbol{\psi} & =\binom{\delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}}{\delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}}} \gamma^{a} \partial_{a} \boldsymbol{X} \epsilon=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}}}\left(\gamma^{0} \partial_{\tau}+\gamma^{1} \partial_{\sigma}\right) \boldsymbol{X}\binom{\epsilon_{+}}{\epsilon_{-}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}}}\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\tau}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\sigma}\right] \boldsymbol{X}\binom{\epsilon_{+}}{\epsilon_{-}}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}}}\binom{+\epsilon_{-} \partial_{+} \boldsymbol{X}}{-\epsilon_{+} \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{X}} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting eqs. (20) and (21) into the transformed RNS action (17), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\mathrm{RNS}} \rightarrow S_{\mathrm{RNS}}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \xi^{+} \mathrm{d} \xi^{-}\left[\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{+}(\boldsymbol{X}+\delta \boldsymbol{X}) \cdot \partial_{-}(\boldsymbol{X}+\delta \boldsymbol{X})\right. \\
&\left.+i\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}+\delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}\right) \cdot \partial_{-}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}+\delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}\right)+\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}+\delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right) \cdot \partial_{+}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}+\delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right)\right)\right] \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

This is quite a mouthful to take on all at once, so we'll evaluate the bosonic part first.

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\mathrm{B}}^{\prime}=S_{\mathrm{B}}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \xi^{+} \mathrm{d} \xi^{-} \frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(\partial_{+} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial_{-} \delta \boldsymbol{X}+\partial_{+} \delta \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{X}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(20)}{=} S_{\mathrm{B}}+\frac{1}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \xi^{+} \mathrm{d} \xi^{-} {[\partial_{+} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial_{-}(i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2}}(\epsilon_{+} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}-\underbrace{\epsilon_{-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}}_{\partial_{-} \rightarrow 0}))} \\
&+\partial_{+}(i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2}}(\underbrace{\epsilon_{+} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}}_{\partial_{+} \rightarrow 0}-\epsilon_{-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+})) \cdot \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{X}]  \tag{23}\\
& \stackrel{(19)}{=} S_{\mathrm{B}}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2 \pi^{2} \alpha^{\prime}}} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \xi^{+} \mathrm{d} \xi^{-}\left[\partial_{+} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot\left(\partial_{-} \epsilon_{+}\right) \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}-\partial_{+} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \epsilon_{+} \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\left(\partial_{+} \epsilon_{-}\right) \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \cdot \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{X}-\epsilon_{-} \partial_{+} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \cdot \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{X}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where we dropped the term of order $\mathcal{O}\left[(\delta \boldsymbol{X})^{2}\right]$ and used the fermionic e.o.m. (19) to disregard terms containing $\partial_{ \pm} \psi_{\mp}$. Also, we made use of the chirality condition $\gamma^{b} \gamma^{a} \partial_{b} \epsilon(\xi)=0$. Broken down into components, it becomes

$$
\gamma^{b} \gamma^{0} \partial_{b} \epsilon=(\underbrace{\gamma^{0} \gamma^{0}}_{-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0  \tag{24}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)} \partial_{\tau}+\underbrace{\gamma^{1} \gamma^{0}}_{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)} \partial_{\sigma}) \epsilon=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{\tau}+\partial_{\sigma} & 0 \\
0 & \partial_{\tau}-\partial_{\sigma}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\epsilon_{+}}{\epsilon_{-}}=-\binom{\partial_{+} \epsilon_{+}}{\partial_{-} \epsilon_{-}}=0 .
$$

The fermionic part yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\mathrm{F}}^{\prime}=S_{\mathrm{F}}+\frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \xi^{+} \mathrm{d} \xi^{-}[\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \cdot \partial_{-} \delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}+\delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \cdot \underbrace{\partial_{-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}}_{0, \text { by }(19)}+\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \cdot \partial_{+} \delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}+\delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \cdot \underbrace{\partial_{+} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}}_{0, \text { by (19) }}]  \tag{25}\\
& \quad \stackrel{(21)}{=} S_{\mathrm{F}}+\frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} \xi^{+} \mathrm{d} \xi^{-}\left[\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \cdot \partial_{-}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}}} \epsilon_{-} \partial_{+} \boldsymbol{X}\right)+\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \cdot \partial_{+}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}}} \epsilon_{+} \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{X}\right)\right]=S_{\mathrm{F}},
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last step we used the bosonic e.o.m. (18) as well as the chirality condition (24) to drop terms containing $\partial_{ \pm} \partial_{\mp} \boldsymbol{X}$ and $\partial_{ \pm} \epsilon_{ \pm}$, respectively.
Adding eqs. (23) and (25) gives just $S_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $S_{\mathrm{F}}$ so that the complete action indeed features supersymmetry,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{RNS}} \xrightarrow[(6)]{(5)} S_{\mathrm{RNS}}^{\prime}=S_{\mathrm{B}}+S_{\mathrm{F}}=S_{\mathrm{RNS}} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

e) The boundary conditions stated in eq. (7) are those of the closed string. This is intuitively clear: If the string is closed than the points $\sigma=0$ and $\sigma=l$ are exactly the same, hence the string field evaluated at this point must be the same too.
If the fermionic string is closed, i.e. periodic in $\sigma$ with period $l$, then it obviously has to fulfill

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}(\sigma) \stackrel{!}{=} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}(\sigma+l) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is not the whole story, however. Since we are dealing with the fermionic string here, which is a worldsheet spinor, it may be that we pick up a minus sign by walking once around the string, $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma+l$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}(\sigma) \stackrel{!}{=} \pm \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}(\sigma+l) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an entirely new possibility that we did not encounter with the bosonic string.
Looking at eq. (28), it is clear that we now face four possible combinations of boundary conditions, both $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}$and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}$can be periodic or antiperiodic, and they each lead to different mode expansions. ${ }^{2}$ Rewriting eq. (28) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}(\sigma+l) \stackrel{!}{=} e^{2 \pi i \phi_{ \pm}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}(\sigma) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

we define

- $\phi_{ \pm}=0$ as the Ramond sector, and
- $\phi_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}$ as the Neveu-Schwarz sector.

The Ramond sector has strictly periodic boundary conditions and must hence be integer moded with a string expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}\left(\xi^{ \pm}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{l}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{b}_{n}^{ \pm} e^{-\frac{2 \pi}{l} i n \xi^{ \pm}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Neveu-Schwarz sector is antiperiodic which leads to a half-integer moded string expansion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}\left(\xi^{ \pm}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{l}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{b}_{n}^{ \pm} e^{-\frac{2 \pi}{l} i n \xi^{ \pm}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

f) The following table gives the statistics of each of the four sectors.

| $\phi_{+}$ | $\phi_{-}$ | sector | statistics |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | R-R | bosonic |
| 0 | $1 / 2$ | R-NS | fermionic |
| $1 / 2$ | 0 | NS-R | fermionic |
| $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | NS-NS | bosonic |

Note that the NS-NS sector is doubly fermionic which results in overall bosonic statistics.

## 2 Operator Product Expansion

Consider a conformal field theory with free fields $\psi$ in two dimensions with a chiral dependence on $z$ and an energy-momentum tensor $T(z)=\alpha \mathcal{N}\left[\psi(z) \partial_{z} \psi(z)\right]$, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
The two-point function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\psi(z) \psi(w)\rangle=\frac{\kappa}{z-w}, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]a) Use Wick's theorem to show that for an appropriate $\alpha \kappa$, the OPE $T(z) \psi(w)$ is given by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}[T(z) \psi(w)]=\frac{h \psi(w)}{(z-w)^{2}}+\frac{\partial_{w} \psi(w)}{z-w}+(\text { terms non-singular at } z=w) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and determine the constant $h$.
b) What is the conformal dimension of $\psi$ ? Is $\psi$ a primary or a quasi-primary field?
c) How does $\psi$ transform under $z \rightarrow f(z)$, where $f$ is a conformal transformation?
a) By Wick's theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{R}[T(z) \psi(w)]= \alpha \mathcal{R}\left[\mathcal{N}\left[\psi(z) \partial_{z} \psi(z)\right] \psi(w)\right] \\
& \stackrel{\text { W.T. }}{\geq}=\alpha \mathcal{N}[\underbrace{\mathcal{N}\left[\psi(z) \partial_{z} \psi(z)\right] \psi(w)}_{\text {non-singular at } z=w}+\underbrace{\left\langle\mathcal{N}\left[\psi(z) \partial_{z} \psi(z)\right]\right\rangle}_{0} \psi(w) \\
&+\underbrace{\langle\psi(z) \psi(w)\rangle}_{\frac{\kappa}{z-w} \partial_{w} \psi(w)+\mathcal{O}(z-w)} \underbrace{\partial_{z} \psi(z)}_{\substack{\kappa \\
(z-w)^{2} \\
\psi(w)+\partial_{w} \psi(w)(z-w)+\mathcal{O}\left[(z-w)^{2}\right]}}+\underbrace{\left\langle\partial_{z} \psi(z) \psi(w)\right\rangle}_{\downarrow} \psi(z)] \\
&=-\alpha \kappa \frac{\psi(w)}{(z-w)^{2}}+\alpha \kappa \frac{\partial_{w} \psi(w)}{z-w}+(\text { terms non-singular at } z=w) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus for $\alpha \kappa=1$, we reproduce eq. (33), which makes $h=-1$.
b) As we just derived in eq. (34), $\psi$ has conformal dimension $h=-1$. Equation (34) also identifies $\psi(w)$ as a (chiral) primary since only primaries feature a product expansion of this form with the energy-momentum tensor.
c) Under a conformal transformation $z \rightarrow f(z)$, any primary $\psi(z)$ transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z) \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z}\right)^{-h} \psi(z) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Bosonic string in $D=26$ dimensions

Assume a lightcone-gauged open string with
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { NN } \\ \text { DD } \\ \text { ND } \\ \text { DN }\end{array}\right\}$ boundary conditions in dimensions $\begin{cases}\left\{X^{+}, X^{-}, X^{i} \mid i \in I\right\} & \text { with }|I|=n_{\mathrm{NN}}, \\ \left\{X^{j} \mid j \in J\right\} & \text { with }|J|=n_{\mathrm{DD}}, \\ \left\{X^{k} \mid k \in K\right\} & \text { with }|K|=n_{\mathrm{ND}}, \\ \left\{X^{l} \mid l \in L\right\} & \text { with }|L|=n_{\mathrm{DN}},\end{cases}$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{m+n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

a) Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) Use the physical state condition to show that the mass condition is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(N_{\mathrm{NN}}+N_{\mathrm{DD}}+N_{\mathrm{ND}}+N_{\mathrm{DN}}-a\right)+T^{2} \sum_{j \in J}\left(x_{l}^{j}-x_{0}^{j}\right)^{2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{x y}, x, y \in\{N, D\}$ are the number operators of subsections, $T=\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}}$ is the string tension, and $x_{0}^{j}, x_{l}^{j}$ are the endpoints of the string in DD dimensions.
You can use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}^{i}=\sqrt{2 \alpha^{\prime}} p^{i}, \quad \alpha_{0}^{j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi^{2} \alpha^{\prime}}}\left(x_{l}^{j}-x_{0}^{j}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{n_{\mathrm{NN}}+n_{\mathrm{DD}}}{24}-\frac{n_{\mathrm{ND}}+n_{\mathrm{DN}}}{48} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{x y}, x, y \in\{N, D\}$ are the number of dimensions excluding $X^{ \pm}$with the indexed combination of boundary conditions.

Consider one space-filling D25-brane, seven D9-branes in dimensions $\{0, \ldots, 9\}$ and eleven D17branes in $\{0, \ldots, 4,10, \ldots, 22\}$ such that the distance of the orthogonal dimensions between the D9- and D17-branes is different from zero.
c) What are the gauge groups living on the D9-/D17-stacks?
d) Find the 7 different types of massless states in the open string spectrum, their Chan-Paton factors and the representation of the abelian brane gauge group transformation.
a) Normal ordering is defined as

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(\alpha_{m}^{\mu} \alpha_{n}^{\nu}\right)= \begin{cases}\alpha_{m}^{\mu} \alpha_{n}^{\nu} & \text { for } m \leq n  \tag{42}\\ \alpha_{n}^{\nu} \alpha_{m}^{\mu} & \text { for } n<m\end{cases}
$$

We can therefore rewrite the level-zero Virasoro generator as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{0} & =\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}  \tag{43}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

b) The mass shell ${ }^{3}$ condition (39) is a result of the Virasoro constraints ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m} \stackrel{!}{=} 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note: The Virasoro constraints in turn followed from the fact that the Virasoro generators are

[^2]precisely the Fourier modes of the energy-momentum tensor $T_{a b}$ and it, due to its definition
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a b} \equiv \frac{4 \pi}{\sqrt{-h}} \frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{P}}}{\delta h^{a b}} \stackrel{!}{=} 0, \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

must vanish on-shell after use of Hamilton's principle.

Equation (44) is a classical expression. When moving to the quantized theory, it must be implemented as the operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{m}-a \delta_{m, 0}\right)|\phi\rangle=0, \quad \forall m \geq 0 \wedge|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{phys}}, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\text {phys }}$ denotes the Hilbert space of physical quantum states. Indeed, $\left(L_{m}-a \delta_{m, 0}\right)|\phi\rangle=0$ implies $\langle\phi|\left(L_{m}-a \delta_{m, 0}\right)|\phi\rangle=0 \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
To derive the mass shell condition from eq. (46), we are interested in the $m=0$-case, $\left(L_{0}-a\right)|\phi\rangle=$ 0 . Recalling our result from part a), we can rewrite $L_{0}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{0}= & \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}^{2}+\sum_{n>0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n} \stackrel{(40)}{=} \frac{1}{2}\left(2 \alpha^{\prime} \sum_{i \in I}\left(p^{i}\right)^{2}+\sum_{j \in J} \frac{\left(x_{l}^{j}-x_{0}^{j}\right)^{2}}{2 \pi^{2} \alpha^{\prime}}\right) \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_{-n}^{i} \alpha_{n}^{i}+\sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{-n}^{j} \alpha_{n}^{j}\right)+\sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}+\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k \in K} \alpha_{-n}^{k} \alpha_{n}^{k}+\sum_{l \in L} \alpha_{-n}^{l} \alpha_{n}^{l}\right)  \tag{47}\\
= & \alpha^{\prime} \boldsymbol{p}^{2}+\frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{j \in J}\left(x_{l}^{j}-x_{0}^{j}\right)^{2}+N_{\mathrm{NN}}+N_{\mathrm{DD}}+N_{\mathrm{ND}}+N_{\mathrm{DN}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By solving the level-zero constraint $\left(L_{0}-a\right)|\phi\rangle=0$ for the invariant mass $M^{2}=-\boldsymbol{p}^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L_{0}-a\right)|\phi\rangle & =\left(-\alpha^{\prime} M^{2}+\frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{j \in J}\left(x_{l}^{j}-x_{0}^{j}\right)^{2}+N_{\mathrm{NN}}+N_{\mathrm{DD}}+N_{\mathrm{ND}}+N_{\mathrm{DN}}-a\right)|\phi\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0,  \tag{48}\\
& \Rightarrow \quad M^{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(N_{\mathrm{NN}}+N_{\mathrm{DD}}+N_{\mathrm{ND}}+N_{\mathrm{DN}}-a\right)+T^{2} \sum_{j \in J}\left(x_{l}^{j}-x_{0}^{j}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

c) The permeation of space by the above mentioned arrangement of D-branes may be visualised with the following table.

| $d$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D25 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| D9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D17 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |

Since a stack of $N$ coincident D-branes hosts a $U(N)$ gauge theory, the gauge group living on the D9-stack is a $U(7)$, whereas the D 17 -stack carries a $U(11)$.
d) There are three different types of D-branes present and each open string has two ends, hence there are a total of $3 \cdot 3=9$ combinations of boundary conditions possible. Each combination has its own set of string states. Which ones are massless depends on

1. the set's normal ordering constant $a$ given by eq. (41), which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\overbrace{n_{\mathrm{NN}}+n_{\mathrm{DD}}+n_{\mathrm{ND}}+n_{\mathrm{DN}}}^{D-2}}{24}-\frac{n_{\mathrm{ND}}+n_{\mathrm{DN}}}{16}=1-\frac{n_{\mathrm{ND}}+n_{\mathrm{DN}}}{16}, \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. the state's excitation level $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, i.e. its eigenvalue w.r.t. the number operator $N$, and
3. the separation of branes $\Delta x^{j}=x_{l}^{j}-x_{0}^{j}$ in Dirichlet dimensions.

Let's consider each combination of boundary conditions in turn.

1. D25-D25 strings have Neumann boundary conditions in all dimensions, hence $a=1$ and the mass is zero if

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}|\phi\rangle=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(N_{\mathrm{NN}}-1\right)|\phi\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0, \quad \text { i.e. for } \quad N_{\mathrm{NN}}|\phi\rangle=|\phi\rangle \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any state fulfilling eq. (50) must be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{24} A_{i} \alpha_{-1}^{i}|0, \boldsymbol{p}\rangle, \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we did not have to write any Chan-Paton factors because the D25 is a solitary brane. The $A_{i}$ form a gauge field, i.e. a massless transverse vector that transforms in the fundamental representation of $S O(24) .{ }^{5}$

## 2. \& 3. D9-D9 strings have

- Neumann boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(X^{0} \pm X^{d-1}\right)$ and $X^{i}, i \in I=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, 9\}$, and
- Dirichlet boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{j}, j \in J=\{10,11, \ldots, 24\}$.

Since $n_{\mathrm{ND}}=n_{\mathrm{DN}}=0$, we still have $a=1$. The branes are all coincident, $\Delta x^{j}=0$, so there is no mass contribution from tension. The mass vanishes if

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}|\phi\rangle=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(N_{\mathrm{NN}}+N_{\mathrm{DD}}-1\right)|\phi\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two possibilities here. Since $N_{\mathrm{NN}}$ and $N_{\mathrm{DD}}$ take eigenvalues in $\mathbb{N}_{0}$, we have either one excitation parallel or one orthogonal to the branes. The corresponding state with Chan-Paton factors $r, s$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi\rangle=\sum_{r, s=1}^{7}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{12} \boldsymbol{A}_{i}^{r s} \alpha_{-1}^{i}+\sum_{j=13}^{25} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}^{r s} \alpha_{-1}^{j}\right)|0, \boldsymbol{p}, r s\rangle, \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parallel excitations $\boldsymbol{A}_{i}^{r s}$ form a set of $7^{2}=49$ massless vector bosons ( $i$ is the vector index) that transform under the fundamental representation of the $U(7)$ gauge group hosted by the coincident D9-branes. ${ }^{6}$ The orthogonal excitations $\phi_{j}^{r s}$ form 49 sets of $n_{\mathrm{DD}}=15$ scalars each (since $j \in\{10, \ldots, 24\}$ ) that transform under the adjoint of $U(7)$. These are the Goldstone bosons associated with spontaneous breaking of the 26 -dimensional Poincaré symmetry by the D9-branes.

## 4. \& 5. D17-D17 strings have

- Neumann boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{ \pm}$and $X^{i}, i \in I=\{1,2,3,4,10,11, \ldots, 22\}$, and
- Dirichlet boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{j}, j \in J=\{5,6,7,8,9,23,24\}$.

Also, $a=1$ and $\Delta x^{j}=0$. The mass again vanishes if

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}|\phi\rangle=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(N_{\mathrm{NN}}+N_{\mathrm{DD}}-1\right)|\phi\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]so the state can be written in the same way as eq. (53) with some slight modifications,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi\rangle=\sum_{r, s=1}^{11}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \boldsymbol{A}_{i}^{r s} \alpha_{-1}^{i}+\sum_{j \in I} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}^{r s} \alpha_{-1}^{j}\right)|0, \boldsymbol{p}, r s\rangle . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The $\boldsymbol{A}_{i}^{\text {rs }}$ now form a set of $11^{2}=121$ massless vector bosons that transform in the fundamental representation of the $U(11)$ gauge group living on the coincident D17-branes. The orthogonal excitations $\phi_{j}^{r s}$ form 121 sets of $n_{\mathrm{DD}}=8$ scalars each that transform under the adjoint representation of $U(11)$.

## 5. D25-D9 (D9-D25) strings have

- Neumann boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{ \pm}$and $X^{i}, i \in I=\{1,2, \ldots, 9\}$, and
- mixed Neumann-Dirichlet (Dirichlet-Neumann) boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{k(l)}$, $k(l) \in K(L)=\{10,11, \ldots, 24\}$.
Inserting $n_{\mathrm{ND}}\left(n_{\mathrm{ND}}\right)=15$ into eq. (49), we get a normal ordering constant of $a=1-\frac{15}{16}=\frac{1}{16}$. At this point, it is already clear that no massless states exist for this combination of boundary conditions because the number operators only have integer (NN, DD) or half-integer (ND, DN) eigenvalues. Furthermore, without DD dimensions, there is no $\Delta x^{j} \neq 0$ that could save the day. So let's move right on.


## ¢5. D25-D17 (D17-D25) strings

- have Neumann boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{ \pm}$and $X^{i}, i \in I=\{1,2,3,4,10,11$, $\ldots, 22\}$, and
- mixed Neumann-Dirichlet (Dirichlet-Neumann) boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{k(l)}$, $k(l) \in K(L)=\{5,6,7,8,9,23,24\}$.
Inserting $n_{\mathrm{ND}}\left(n_{\mathrm{ND}}\right)=7$ into eq. (49), we get a normal ordering constant of $a=1-\frac{7}{16}=\frac{9}{16}$. We thus face the same issue again; due to the rational normal ordering constant, there are no massless levels here.


## 5. D9-D17 (D17-D9) strings have

- Neumann boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{ \pm}$and $X^{i}, i \in I=\{1,2,3,4\}$,
- Dirichlet boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{j}, j \in J=\{23,24\}$,
- mixed Neumann-Dirichlet (Dirichlet-Neumann) boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{k(l)}$, $k(l) \in K(L)=\{5,6,7,8,9\}$, and
- mixed Dirichlet-Neumann (Neumann-Dirichlet) boundary conditions in dimensions $X^{l(k)}$, $l(k) \in L(K)=\{10,11, \ldots, 22\}$.
Inserting $n_{\mathrm{ND}}+n_{\mathrm{ND}}=18$ into eq. (49), we get a normal ordering constant of $a=1-\frac{18}{16}=-\frac{1}{8}$. Note that for the first time, we have $\Delta x^{j} \neq 0$. We thus get a massless state if

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}|\phi\rangle=\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(N_{\mathrm{NN}}+N_{\mathrm{DD}}+N_{\mathrm{ND}}+N_{\mathrm{DN}}+\frac{1}{8}\right)+T^{2} \sum_{j \in J}\left(\Delta x^{j}\right)^{2}\right)|\phi\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0 . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the mass contribution coming from the tension can take arbitrary values in $\mathbb{R}$, there are now a myriad of possibilities to create a massless state. Still, a general if a bit unwieldy expression that captures all possible excitations would be
$|\phi\rangle=\sum_{r=1}^{7} \sum_{s=1}^{11}(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{4} \boldsymbol{A}_{i}^{r s(s r)} \alpha_{-1}^{i}}_{\mathrm{NN}}+\underbrace{\sum_{j=23}^{24} \phi_{j}^{r s(s r)} \alpha_{-1}^{j}}_{\mathrm{DD}}+\underbrace{\sum_{k(l)=5}^{9} \xi_{k(l)}^{r s(s r)} \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{k(l)}}_{\mathrm{ND}(\mathrm{DN})}+\underbrace{\sum_{l(k)=10}^{22} \psi_{l(k)}^{r s(s r)} \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{l(k)}}_{\mathrm{DN}(\mathrm{ND})})|0, \boldsymbol{p}, r(s)\rangle$.
Quoting the lecture notes (p. 168), the Chan-Paton factors reveal that all excitations transform under the (anti-)bifundamental ${ }^{7}$ representation of the gauge group $U(7) \times U(11)$.

[^4]
## 4 Scattering Amplitude for the Bosonic String

a) State the worldsheet topology for the vacuum diagram of the oriented open and closed strings at tree- and one-loop-level. Name their corresponding Euler characteristics, too.
b) The S-matrix describing the scattering of $n$ string states can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\text { compact } \\ \text { topologies }}} \frac{\int \mathcal{D} X \int \mathcal{D} h}{\text { Vol } \text { Diff } \times \text { Weyl }} e^{-S_{\mathrm{P}}-\lambda \chi} \prod_{i=1}^{n} V_{j_{i}}\left(k_{i}\right) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Briefly describe the purpose of each term.
c) Which mechanisms protect oriented closed and open strings from UV divergences in the oneloop vacuum amplitudes?
a) When calculating scattering amplitudes via the path integral, we must sum over all possible worldsheet topologies. To characterize the types of worldsheets that have to be considered at each level of its perturbative expansion, string theory make use of the following theorem:

Theorem: Every compact, connected, oriented two-dimensional manifold is topologically equivalent to a sphere with $g$ handles ( $g$ for genus) and $b$ boundaries. A topological invariant of two-dimensional oriented surfaces is the Euler characteristic $\chi=2-2 g-b$.

What this boils down to is that we can obtain the topological characteristics of higher and higher loop-level worldsheet topologies by successively increasing in one-step increments the number of handles $g$ in case of the closed string and the number of boundaries $b$ for the open sector. We thus get the following topologies for the vacuum diagram of the two sectors up to one-loop level:

| sector | order | topology | $(g, b)$ | Euler char. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| open string | tree-level | disk $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ | $(0,1)$ | $\chi=1$ |
|  | one-loop | cylinder $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ | $(0,2)$ | $\chi=0$ |
| closed string | tree-level | sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ | $(0,0)$ | $\chi=2$ |
|  | one-loop | torus $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ | $(1,0)$ | $\chi=0$ |

Open string


Tree-level and one-loop vacuum amplitude

Closed string


b) We will briefly explain what each of the operations in eq. (58) are for.

- The sum over compact worldsheet topologies serves the purpose of incorporating contributions to a given scattering process at all loop levels. ${ }^{8}$

[^5]- The path integrals $\int \mathcal{D} X \int \mathcal{D} h$ take into account all possible intermediate configurations that converge for $\tau \rightarrow \mp \infty$ to the specified asymptotic in- and outgoing states of both the string field $X^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma)$ and the worldsheet metric $h^{a b}(\tau, \sigma) .{ }^{9}$
- The denominator Vol $_{\text {Diff } \times \text { Weyl }}$ is required to avoid the standard problem in the path integral quantization of gauge theories, i.e. overcounting of gauge equivalent configurations. The solution is always to factorize the path integral into an integral over physical degrees of freedom and over the gauge parameters. The latter can then be cancelled by dividing by the volume of the gauge group. In the case at hand, this can be achieved by converting the integral over all worldsheet metrics into an integral over all diffeomorphisms $\epsilon^{a}(\tau, \sigma)$ and Weyl rescalings $\Lambda(\tau, \sigma)$ that take us to the gauge transformed $h^{\prime}$ starting from some fixed reference metric $\hat{h}$.
- $e^{-S_{\mathrm{P}}-\lambda \chi}$ is a weighting factor. The first part $e^{-S_{\mathrm{P}}}$ weighs string and worldsheet configurations to ensure that configurations that are more likely to occur have a higher contribution to the path integral. $e^{-\lambda \chi}$ on the other hand contains the aforementioned Euler characteristic $\chi$. Unlike the Polyakov action $S_{\mathrm{P}}[X]$, it has no dynamics of its own but merely serves to weigh the contribution of different worldsheets to the overall S-matrix.
- Finally, the product of vertex operators $\prod_{i=1}^{n} V_{j_{i}}\left(k_{i}\right)$ serves to specify the in and out states, i.e. what type and number of particles are inserted at $\tau=-\infty$ and what we want to end up with at $\tau=\infty$. This is the only part of the S-matrix that actually contains information about what type of scattering process we are looking at.
c) In point particle theories the sharp localization of the interaction vertex is responsible for the appearance of divergent amplitudes. In string theory, no localized vertices are present. Instead, interactions are mediated via the global worldsheet topology.
More specifically, at the one-loop level, string theory's ultraviolet behavior benefits from a feature called modular invariance, which on the torus, is just a fancy name for invariance under $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ transformations of the form $z \rightarrow \frac{a z+b}{c z+d}$. Modular invariance acts as an intrinsic ultraviolet cutoff and removes the UV divergence of analogous point particle theories.

[^6]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \boldsymbol{X}=\left(X^{0}, \ldots, X^{25}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}=\left(\psi^{0}, \ldots, \psi^{25}\right)$ denote the entire bosonic and fermionic spacetime vectors, respectively.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Of course, the two components of the supersymmetry parameter $\epsilon$ have to be chosen such that $\delta \boldsymbol{X} \propto \bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\psi}$ is periodic.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Note that the word shell is important here since eq. (39) only holds on-shell, i.e. upon use of the equations of motion of the worldsheet metric $h^{a b}$.
    ${ }^{4}$ In the open string sector, no $\tilde{L}_{m}$ appears since left- and right-moving oscillations on the string are reflected into each other at the endpoints by the boundary conditions.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Note that $S O(24)$ is not brane gauge group here but one living in regular spacetime. Hence the transformation behavior we stated refers to how the $A_{i}$ transform as a spacetime vector.
    ${ }^{6}$ Note that the $\boldsymbol{A}_{i}^{r s}$ now serve two purposes: Just like in eq. (51), they still form a spacetime vector. In addition, they are also $N^{2} N \times N$-matrices (which we indicate by using boldface), one for every combination of Chan-Paton factors $r$ and $s$. These matrices span the Lie algebra of the $U(N)$ gauge group brought into being by the coincident branes. In our case, $N=7$ due to the 7 coincident D9 branes.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ This is an abbreviation for the fundamental $\times$ antifundamental (antifundamental $\times$ fundamental) representation.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ While eq. (58) is formally exact, the practical need to truncate the sum over topologies means we end up with only a perturbative approximation.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9} h^{a b}(\tau, \sigma)$ describes the exact shape and curvature of the worldsheet for a given topology.

