

General Relativity - Exercise Sheet 7Problem 1 (Equation-of-state parameter meets scalar field) [15 points]

The continuity equation for the energy density of the cosmos reads

$$\dot{\rho} + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \rho (1+w) = 0, \quad (1)$$

with the eq.-of-state parameter  $w = \frac{p}{\rho}$ . For  $w$  constant, it leads to an eq. describing the time evolution of the density of the form

$$\rho = \rho_0 a^{-3(1+w)}$$

For  $\Lambda$ , we had  $w_\Lambda = -1$ , which means  $\rho_\Lambda$  constant. It has been suggested that  $w_\Lambda = w_\Lambda(a)$ .

a) Show that

$$\rho = \rho_0 \exp\left(-3 \int_1^a d(\ln a') [1+w(a')]\right)$$

solves eq. (1) for a scale factor-dependent  $w(a)$ .

$$\dot{\rho} = \rho_0 \exp\left(-3 \int_1^a d(\ln a') [1+w(a')]\right) \frac{d}{dt} \left(-3 \int_1^a d(\ln a') [1+w(a')]\right),$$

where  $d(\ln a') = \frac{da'}{a'} = \frac{1}{a'} \frac{da'}{dt} dt = \frac{\dot{a}'}{a'} dt$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho} &= \rho \left(-3 \int_1^a dt \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\dot{a}'}{a'} [1+w(a')]\right) = -3\rho \left[\frac{\dot{a}}{a} [1+w(a)] - \underbrace{[1+w(1)]}_{-1}\right] \\ &= -3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} [1+w(a)], \end{aligned}$$

where  $w(1) = w(a(t_0)) = -1$  as stated above. Therefore,

$$\dot{\rho} + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \rho (1+w(a)) = \left[-3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} [1+w(a)] + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} [1+w(a)]\right] \rho = 0. \quad \checkmark$$

b) We have calculated that for a scalar field  $\phi$  with a Lagrangian  $L = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi)$ , the pressure and energy density can, under

the assumption of homogeneity and isotropy of the field, be written

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi), \quad \rho c^2 = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi). \quad (2)$$

Therefore, the eq.-of-state parameter  $w$  becomes

$$w = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - 2V(\phi)}{\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + 2V(\phi)},$$

which, for a vanishing kinetic term  $\dot{\phi} = 0$ , mimics that of a cosmological constant,  $w = -1$ .

By plugging the densities and pressures of a scalar field into eq. (1), can you arrive at a e.o.m. for  $\phi$ ?

$$\dot{\rho} c^2 = \dot{\phi} \ddot{\phi} + \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \dot{\phi} = (\ddot{\phi} + V'(\phi)) \dot{\phi}$$

Insertion into eq. (1) multiplied by  $c^2$  yields

$$\dot{\rho} c^2 + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \rho c^2 (1+w) = (\ddot{\phi} + V'(\phi)) \dot{\phi} + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi)}{\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi)} \right)$$

$$= (\ddot{\phi} + V'(\phi)) \dot{\phi} + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \dot{\phi}^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \ddot{\phi} + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \dot{\phi} + V'(\phi)$$

Since our investigation is concerned with a cosmos we assume to be isotropic, and, in particular, homogeneous, we have  $\phi = \phi(\vec{x}, t)$  and thus  $\vec{\nabla} \phi(t) = 0$ .

Except for the term  $3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \dot{\phi}$ , our result therefore markedly resembles the Klein-Gordon eq.

$$\square \phi + \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi} = 0$$

of a scalar field in some potential  $V(\phi)$ .

Problem 2 (Field equations) [15 points]

Show that the Einstein field equations,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{R}{2} g_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} \quad (3)$$

could equally well be written as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left[ T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{T}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \right] + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} \quad (4)$$

By contracting all indices, eq. (3) becomes (we use  $g^{\mu}_{\mu} = g^{\mu\nu} g_{\nu\mu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\mu} = 4$ )

$$R - \frac{R}{2} 4 + 4\Lambda = -R + 4\Lambda = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T,$$

where  $R = R^{\mu}_{\mu} = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\nu\mu}$  and  $T = T^{\mu}_{\mu} = g^{\mu\nu} T_{\nu\mu}$ . We multiply our intermediate result with  $-\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}$  and add it to the field eqs. (3) to get

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{R}{2} g_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{R}{2} g_{\mu\nu} - 2\Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left( T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{T}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \right),$$

which is equivalent to

$$R_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left( T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{T}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}.$$

Problem 3 (A Hubble diagram for the 21st century) [10 points]

a) We cannot distinguish between cosmic and Doppler-redshift. How do we know that most receding objects follow the cosmic flow and are not actively moving away?

Good question.

Problem 4 (Extra: CPT symmetry) [5 points]

Are the Einstein field CPT-invariant? I.e. do physical processes stay the same if one were to transform  $x^\mu \rightarrow -x^\mu$  and  $g \rightarrow -g$ ?

Yes, both sides of

$$R_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left( T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} T \right) + g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda$$

are CPT-even. This implies that an antimatter energy-momentum tensor generates a gravitational field, i.e. spacetime curvature, in the same way as one for matter does.