General Relativity – Exercise Sheet 6

Exercise sheet 6, General Relativity, Prof. Björn Schäfer

Solutions by Janosh Riebesell, 13.07.2015

Problem 1 (Density evolution) [15 points]

Starting from the FLRW metric, if we assume the universe to be filled with a perfect fluid, then the Einstein field equations can be reduced to just two equations: the Friedmann equations

$$H^2 = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3} - \frac{kc^2}{a^2}, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\left(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2}\right) + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}. \tag{2}$$

Here, a is the dimensionless scale factor, with today's value of $a_0 = 1$. The scale factor only depends on time and describes the expansion of the universe. The energy density ρ of the universe is coupled to it, meaning it is influenced by the expansion, whilst in turn also influencing the expansion. This shows the intrinsic non-linearity of general relativity.

a) What two symmetry assumptions are going into the FLRW metric? Are they sensible?

FLRW cosmology assumes an isotropic and homogeneous universe. On a small scale this does not apply, considering the differences between the interior of stars and empty space. However, these assumptions are taken to hold only on the largest scale where such local density variations are averaged over, and receive confirmation from galaxy counts, X- and γ-ray background observations, and, above all, the smoothness of the 3K microwave background radiation.

b) Show that it is possible to absorb the Λ-term into the energy density of the universe ρ and the pressure p, and rewrite the Friedmann equations just in terms of k, ρ and w, with $w = \frac{p}{\rho c^2}$.

$$H^2 = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\left(\rho + \frac{c^2\Lambda}{8\pi G}\right) - \frac{kc^2}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho' - \frac{kc^2}{a^2},$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\left(\rho' - \frac{c^2\Lambda}{8\pi G} + \frac{3p}{c^2} - \frac{c^2\Lambda}{4\pi G}\right) = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\left(\rho' + \frac{3}{c^2}\underbrace{\left(p - \frac{c^4\Lambda}{8\pi G}\right)}_{p'}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\rho'\left(1 + \frac{3p'}{c^2\rho'}\right) = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\rho'(1 + 3w').$$

c) Taking the derivative w.r.t. time of the first Friedmann eq., we get

$$\frac{2\dot{a}\ddot{a}}{a^2} - \frac{2\dot{a}^3}{a^3} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\dot{\rho} + \frac{2kc^2\dot{a}}{a^3} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{4\pi G}{3}\frac{a}{\dot{a}}\dot{\rho} + \frac{kc^2}{a^2}.$$

The left side is the difference of the two Friedmann equations, i.e.

$$\frac{4\pi G}{3}\frac{a}{\dot{a}}\dot{\rho} + \frac{kc^2}{a^2} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\left(\rho + 3\frac{p}{c^2}\right) + \frac{c^2\Lambda}{3} - \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{c^2\Lambda}{3} + \frac{kc^2}{a^2}.$$

Above, many terms cancel. When the dust settles, we are left with

$$\dot{\rho} = H\left(-\left(\rho + 3\,\frac{p}{c^2}\right) - 2\rho\right) = -3H\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}\right).$$

d) Show that for w constant, integrating the continuity equation gives a density scale relation

$$\rho = \rho_0 a^{-3(1+w)}. \tag{3}$$

$w = \frac{p}{\rho c^2}$. Thus, $\frac{p}{c^2} = w\rho$, from which we conclude

$$\dot{\rho} = -3H\rho(1+w).$$

If w is a constant, integrating is trivial:

$$\ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\rho} = -3(1+w)\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\,\mathrm{d}t = -3(1+w)\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{d}a}{a} = -3(1+w)\ln\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right).$$

Since $a_0 = a(t_0)$ is just one, this means

$$\rho = \rho_0 a^{-3(1+w)}.$$

e) For matter, we have $w = 0$. For photons, we have $w = \frac{1}{3}$. Is the scale evolution of ρ for the given w surprising?

$$\text{matter:} \quad \rho = \frac{\rho_0}{a^3}, \qquad \text{radiation:} \quad \rho = \frac{\rho_0}{a^4}.$$

These scale evolutions are not surprising. An a^{-3}-behavior is simply the decrease in particle density if all three spatial dimensions increase by a factor of $a(t)$ while the total number of particles remains the same.

Radiation, on the other hand, has a faster a^{-4}-fall-off since its density also decreases with increasing space, but photons additionally lose energy with a^{-1} as they redshift over time.

Problem 2 (Density contributions) [15 points]

We saw that we can absorb the vacuum energy density Λ into the density ρ. In fact, we can split up the density into an arbitrary number of contributions, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}\rho = \sum_i \rho_i &= \rho_{\text{matter}} + \rho_{\text{photons}} + \rho_{\text{vacuum}} + \rho_{\text{neutrinos}} + \dots \\ &= \rho_m + \rho_\gamma + \rho_\Lambda + \rho_\nu + \dots\end{aligned}$$

a) Cosmologists define the critical density ρ_{crit}, which is given by eq. (1) if $k = 0$. Find ρ_{crit} i.t.o. H and G. Calculate today's critical density if $H_0 = 68\,\frac{\text{km}}{\text{s Mpc}}$.

The first Friedmann equation reads

$$H^2 = \frac{8}{3}\pi G\rho - \frac{kc^2}{a^2}.$$

Thus for $k = 0$,

$$\rho'_{\text{crit}} = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} \approx 10^{-26}\,\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3} \quad \text{for} \quad H_0 = 68\,\frac{\text{km}}{\text{s Mpc}}.$$

b) Now we define the relative matter contribution Ω,

$$\Omega := \frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{crit}}} = \sum_i \Omega_i = \Omega_m + \Omega_\gamma + \Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_\nu + ...$$

What does it mean for the universe if $\Omega > 1$, $\Omega = 1$, $\Omega < 1$, and the Friedmann eq. (1) still needs to hold?

Using Ω, eq. (1) can be rewritten as

$$\Omega - 1 = \frac{kc^2}{a^2 H^2}.$$

This means Ω determines the sign of k. We have the correspondences

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega > 1 &\longleftrightarrow k > 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{Universe closed,} \\ \Omega = 1 &\longleftrightarrow k = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{Universe flat,} \\ \Omega < 1 &\longleftrightarrow k < 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{Universe open.} \end{aligned}$$

c) Using the energy density contribution today $\Omega_0 = \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_{\text{crit}}}$, show how to rewrite eq. (1) using eq. (3) as

$$H^2(a) = H_0^2 \left(\sum_i \Omega_{0,i} a^{-3(1+w_i)} \right) = H_0^2 E^2(a). \tag{4}$$

Again for $k = 0$ and with the vacuum energy density Λ absorbed into ρ, the first Friedmann eq. becomes just

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(a) = \frac{8}{3}\pi G\rho &\overset{\text{eq. (3)}}{=} \frac{8}{3}\pi G\rho_0 a^{-3(1+w)} = H_0^2 \frac{8\pi G}{3H_0^2}\rho_0 a^{-3(1+w)} \\ &= H_0^2 \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_{0,\text{crit}}} a^{-3(1+w)} = H_0^2 \Omega_0 a^{-3(1+w)} = H_0^2 E^2(a). \end{aligned}$$

d) Assuming a flat universe made out of Ω_m and Ω_Λ, we have

$$\Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda = 1.$$

Rewrite eq. (4) as

$$\mathrm{d}t = \frac{\mathrm{d}a}{aH_0} \left(\Omega_{0,\Lambda} + \frac{1 - \Omega_{0,\Lambda}}{a^3} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

using $w_m = 0$ and $w_\Lambda = -1$. Integrate from $a = 0$ to $a = a_0 = 1$ in order to find out the age of the Universe in the following cases:

- $\Omega_{0,\Lambda} = 0.7$, $\Omega_{0,m} = 0.3$,
- $\Omega_{0,\Lambda} = 0$, $\Omega_{0,m} = 1$.

Given that the oldest globular clusters are about 13.6 Gyr old, what does that say about the two toy models?

$$H^2(a) = \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{1}{a^2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^2 = H_0^2 \sum_i \Omega_{0,i} a^{-3(1+w_i)},$$

where the sum is given by

$$\Omega_{0,m}a^{-3} + \Omega_{0,\Lambda} = \Omega_{0,\Lambda} + \frac{1-\Omega_{0,\Lambda}}{a^3}.$$

Reinsertion gives

$$\mathrm{d}t = \frac{\mathrm{d}a}{aH_0}\left(\Omega_{0,\Lambda} + \frac{1-\Omega_{0,\Lambda}}{a^3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Integrating,

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &= \int_0^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^{a_0=1} \frac{\mathrm{d}a}{aH_0}\left(\Omega_{0,\Lambda} + \frac{1-\Omega_{0,\Lambda}}{a^3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{H_0}\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}a}{a}\left(0.7 + \frac{0.3}{a^3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0.9641\,\frac{1}{H_0} \qquad \text{for } \Omega_{0,\Lambda} = 0.7, \\ &= \frac{1}{H_0}\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}a}{a}\left(\frac{1}{a^3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{H_0}\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}a\sqrt{a} = \frac{1}{H_0}\frac{2}{3}a^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big|_0^1 = \frac{2}{3H_0} \quad \text{for } \Omega_{0,\Lambda} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

With $1/H_0 \approx 14.4$ Gyr, we get an age for the universe of 13.9 Gyr for $\Omega_{0,\Lambda} = 0.7$. For $\Omega_{0,\Lambda} = 0$, this number reduces to 9.6 Gyr, younger than the oldest globular clusters. Only $\Omega_{0,\Lambda} = 0.7$ leaves room for 13.6 Gyr old globular clusters, so it is a much more realistic choice than $\Omega_{0,\Lambda} = 0$.

Problem 3 (Distance measurements) [10 points]

We define the (radial) comoving distance to be

$$\chi(a) = c\int_a^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}a'}{a'^2 H(a')}. \tag{5}$$

a) Assume $\Omega = \Omega_m = 1$. What does $H(a') = H_0 E(a')$ look like now? Solve the integral analytically, and rename $\frac{c}{H_0} = \chi_H$.

For $\Omega = \Omega_m = 1$, $H(a)$ takes the form

$$H(a') = H_0 E(a') = H_0 a'^{-3/2}.$$

With this, the comoving distance becomes

$$\chi(a) = c\int_a^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}a'}{a'^2 H_0 a'^{-3/2}} = \frac{c}{H_0}\int_a^1 a'^{-1/2}\,\mathrm{d}a' = 2\chi_H\big(1-\sqrt{a}\big).$$

b) The transverse comoving distance d_k is defined as

$$d_k = \begin{cases} \chi_H/\sqrt{\Omega_k}\sinh\Big(\sqrt{\Omega_k}\,\frac{\chi(a)}{\chi_H}\Big) & \text{if } k > 0 \\ \chi(a) & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \chi_H/\sqrt{-\Omega_k}\sin\Big(\sqrt{-\Omega_k}\,\frac{\chi(a)}{\chi_H}\Big) & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

Why is the transverse comoving distance different from the radial comoving distance for $k \neq 0$?

Because it has to account for the fact that spacetime is curved for $k \neq 0$.

c) The luminosity distance d_L is defined via the flux we measure from an object of luminosity L,

$$F = \frac{L}{4\pi d_L^2} = \frac{L(\chi)}{4\pi\chi^2(a)} = \frac{La^2}{4\pi\chi^2(a)},$$

and therefore $d_L = \chi(a)/a$. Give an argument why $L(\chi) = La^2$!

Each photon's energy is redshifted by a factor a on its way to us, and cosmological time dilation reduces the rate at which photons arrive by another factor a. Hence the received luminosity is $L(\chi) = La^2$.

d) The angular diameter distance d_A of a structure that appears under the angle α and has spatial extension l_0 is defined as

$$d_A = \frac{l(a)}{\alpha},$$

where l_0 is also stretched along with the cosmic expansion, such that the comoving size is $l(a) = l_0/a$, i.e. $\alpha = \frac{l_0}{a\chi(a)}$, and thus $d_A = a\chi$. Argue why $l(a) = l_0/a$!

The structure has the fixed physical size l_0 at emission, when the scale factor was a. Comoving lengths are physical lengths divided by the scale factor, so its comoving size is $l(a) = l_0/a$.

Problem 4 (Extra: Redshift galore) [5 points]

The cosmological redshift z i.t.o. the scale factor is $z = \frac{1}{a} - 1$. How can one distinguish between redshift, e.g. in a far-away galaxy, from peculiar motion (rel. Doppler effect) z_m and redshift coming from the cosmic expansion z_c?

If the distance d to the redshifted object, say a galaxy, is known, the cosmological redshift can be calculated using Hubble's law, i.e. $z_c = \frac{H_0 d}{c}$.

Otherwise, determining the difference is not generally possible, and complicated for specific cases by the fact that redshift can also have sources entirely different from the above mentioned, e.g. gravitational redshift.