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## 1 Motivation

- Modern physics is primarily built on three pillars that have held up to experiment time and again:
- Special relativity is the framework of choice when describing fast-moving objects.
- General relativity prevails in the face of objects so massive that they bend spacetime itself.
- Quantum mechanics claims to describe physics down to the smallest level.
- But what if something is both small and fast? To describe such systems, special relativity and quantum mechanics were beautifully incorporated into a multiparticle, relativistic framework called quantum field theory - the most successful physical theory yet, tested to excruciating precision.
- Or, what about systems that are massive yet small (and perhaps fast)? Clearly, for something to be both massive and small implies that we are looking at high densities on short length scales where gravity becomes important and of a magnitude comparable to the other forces. We are entering an exotic regime of physics involving systems such as the early universe and (rotating) black holes. Quantum field theory in its current state is of no use here, as it discards gravity from the outset. In fact, no field-theoretic description of gravity has been found that is both strictly local down to the smallest level and consistently quantizable, i.e. that results in a renormalizable quantum theory.
- As suggested by the Wilsonian interpretation of QFT, a fundamentally new (nonlocal) picture of the microscopic degrees of freedom is needed to make headway. This is where string theory comes in, whose central axiom is that the fundamental objects in Nature are one-dimensional rather than pointlike. Combined with the standard kinematics of general covariance ${ }^{1}$ and the usual procedure of quantization, this simple statement has resulted in an amazingly rich, mathematically intricate and conceptually insightful framework. In particular, string theory leads to a unified description of all forces, a divergence-free UV completion of QFT, and it recovers Einstein gravity at low energies.


### 1.1 Central properties

- There is only one free parameter in string theory, the string length $\ell_{s}$ which (due to current limits of high-energy colliders) can take any value in the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (Planck length) } \quad 10^{-35} \mathrm{~m}<\ell_{s}<10^{-19} \mathrm{~m} \quad \text { (TeV scale). } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is in stark contrast to GR and QFT where all masses and higher couplings are input parameters that have to be taken from experiment. String couplings are given by expectation values of a dynamical field, the scalar dilaton $\phi$. That means they can be calculated from within the theory!

- String states can be classified into two regimes:

1. In the low-energy limit of distances much larger than $\ell_{s}$, strings appear pointlike. Integrating out the massive string tower results in a low-energy effective theory of only the massless excitations. These are found to model gauge interactions and gravity. Conformal invariance of the field theory on the worldsheet requires that to lowest order, gravity obeys the Einstein equations.
2. The ultraviolet regime resides at distances of the order of $\ell_{s}$. The extended nature of the string becomes important, rendering the theory nonlocal with important consequences for interactions: Sharp vertices at which interactions are localized in space and time no longer exist. ${ }^{2}$ Locally, the string always appears free with interactions encoded solely in the global worldsheet topology.

- In string perturbation, each loop order (for a given process) contains only a single diagram. By contrast, the number of Feynman graphs in QFT grows factorially. Due to a feature called duality, there is no need to sum over the scattering channels $s, t, u$. They are all the same in string theory.

[^0]- A string can be open or closed. Open strings generate Yang-Mills theory, closed strings produce gravity. Since open strings can close up and vice versa, gravity and Yang-Mills are dynamically related. One automatically implies existence of the other, as it must be, to allow for effects such as energy stored in an electric field to gravitate itself. This is what is meant with the statement that string theory provides a unified description of all forces.


## 2 Classical bosonic string

### 2.1 Bosonic string action

- The Nambu-Goto action of the classical bosonic string spanning the worldsheet $\Sigma$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{NG}}[X]=-T \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d} A \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ is the string tension and $\mathrm{d} A=\sqrt{-\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{G})} \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{d} \sigma$ the area element of $\Sigma$ with coordinates $\boldsymbol{\xi}=$ $\left(\xi^{0}, \xi^{1}\right)=(\tau, \sigma)$. The components of the pullback $G$ of the ambient space metric $\eta_{\mu \nu}$ onto $\Sigma$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{a b}=\frac{\partial X^{\mu}}{\partial \xi^{a}} \frac{\partial X_{\mu}}{\partial \xi^{b}}, \quad a, b \in\{0,1\}, \quad \mu \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- To eliminate the square root in $S_{\mathrm{NG}}$, we introduce the worldsheet metric $h^{a b}(\tau, \sigma)$ as an auxiliary (symmetric two-tensor) field and define the Polyakov action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{P}}[X, h]=-\frac{T}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{-h} h^{a b} G_{a b}, \quad \text { with } h=\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{h}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bosonic string field $X^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma)$ in $G_{a b}$ provides an embedding of the worldsheet into ambient space. $X^{\mu}$ is a spacetime vector but a scalar on the worldsheet (due to the absence of worldsheet indices). Hence $S_{\mathrm{P}}$ describes $d$ scalar fields $X^{\mu}$ coupled to the dynamical worldsheet metric $h_{a b}$.

- Since the spacetime coordinates $X^{\mu}$ of the string are promoted to dynamical fields, spacetime becomes a derived concept. The fundamental object is the field theory on the worldsheet.
- $S_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $S_{\mathrm{NG}}$ are classically equivalent, i.e. upon enforcing the equation of motion for the auxiliary field $h_{a b}$. However, this equivalence does not extend to the quantum level.
- (4) is not the most general bosonic string action imaginable. $S_{\mathrm{P}}$ could be modified in two ways:

1. A cosmological constant term $S_{\Lambda}=\Lambda \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{-h}$ could be added, but this would spoil Weyl invariance which will turn out to be vital for consistency of the CFT on the worldsheet.
2. We might also include an Einstein-Hilbert term $S_{\mathrm{EH}}=\frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{EH}}}{4 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{-h} \mathcal{R}$ with $\mathcal{R}$ the Ricci scalar of the worldsheet. But this is a total derivative and hence introduces no new dynamics (corresponding to the fact that two-dimensional gravity is dynamically trivial).

### 2.2 Symmetries

- $S_{\mathrm{P}}$ enjoys several symmetries, where it is important to distinguish between spacetime symmetries in $\mathbb{R}^{1, d-1}$ (taken to be flat) and worldsheet symmetries on $\Sigma$ (dynamic). $S_{\mathrm{P}}$ is invariant under

1. d-dimensional spacetime Poincaré transformations $X^{\mu} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\nu}^{\mu} X^{\nu}+V^{\mu}$, with $\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}$ in the Lorentz group $S O(1, d-1)$ and $V^{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^{1, d-1}$ a translation. The associated conserved charges (according to Noether's theorem) are energy, momentum, and angular momentum.
2. Local worldsheet diffeomorphisms $\xi^{a} \rightarrow \xi^{a}+\epsilon^{a}(\xi)$ under which the string field $X^{\mu}$ transforms as $\delta X^{\mu}=\epsilon^{a} \partial_{a} X^{\mu}$, the metric $h_{a b}$ as $\delta h_{a b}=\nabla_{a} \epsilon_{b}+\nabla_{b} \epsilon_{a}$, and the object $\sqrt{-h}$ as $\delta \sqrt{-h}=$ $\partial_{a}\left(\epsilon_{a} \sqrt{-h}\right)$, i.e. like a scalar density of weight $p=1$.
3. Local Weyl transformations $h_{a b} \rightarrow \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\xi}) h_{a b}$ (parametrized by $\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\xi})=e^{\omega(\boldsymbol{\xi})}$ with $\omega(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbb{R}$ for convenient series expansion). This symmetry is special in that it arises only for two-dimensional worldsheets (as opposed to, say, membranes), making strings a unique generalization of pointparticles. This symmetry requires $T_{a}^{a}=0$ and is crucial for a consistent string quantization.

- The effect on the metric $h_{a b}$ of certain diffeomorphisms $\epsilon_{a}$ that fulfill the conformal Killing eq. $P_{a b}^{c} \epsilon_{c}=(\boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\epsilon})_{a b}=0$ can be undone by a Weyl rescaling $\Lambda^{-1}$. The linear operator $\boldsymbol{P}$ is defined via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta h_{a b}=\nabla_{a} \epsilon_{b}+\nabla_{b} \epsilon_{a}=\underbrace{\nabla_{a} \epsilon_{b}+\nabla_{b} \epsilon_{a}-\nabla^{c} \epsilon_{c} h_{a b}}_{P_{a b}^{c} \epsilon_{c}}+\underbrace{\nabla^{c} \epsilon_{c}}_{\Lambda} h_{a b} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

These $\epsilon_{a}$ are the conformal Killing vectors. Every such $\epsilon_{a}$ yields a conserved current $J_{\epsilon}^{a}=T^{a b} \epsilon_{b}$ with $\nabla_{a} J_{\epsilon}^{a}=0$ ( $T_{a}^{a}=0$ is used to show this). The number of such $\epsilon_{a}$ is infinite and hence infinitely many conserved currents arise.

- The energy-momentum tensor is defined as the variation of $S_{\mathrm{P}}$ w.r.t. to the worldsheet metric,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a b}=\frac{4 \pi}{\sqrt{-h}} \frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{P}}}{\delta h^{a b}}=-\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(G_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} h_{a b} G_{c}^{c}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is traceless $T^{a}{ }_{a}=0$ (as a consequence of Weyl invariance), and (for on-shell $X^{\mu}$ ) constitutes the conserved current $\nabla^{a} T_{a b}=0$ with respect to local worldsheet diffeomorphisms.

- The e.o.m. $T_{a b}=0$ for $h_{a b}$ implies $G_{a b}=\frac{G^{c} c}{2} h_{a b}$, i.e. on-shell $h_{a b}$ is proportional to the pullback.


### 2.3 Gauge-fixing

- On a $D$-dimensional worldmembrane $h_{a b}$ has $\frac{D}{2}(D+1)$ degrees of freedom, while diffeomorphisms plus Weyl rescalings account for $(D+1)$ parameters. Precisely in $D=2$ do we have equally many transformational parameters as metric degrees of freedom. Two more features exclusive to $D=2$ are that the Riemann-tensor has only one degree of freedom given by the Ricci scalar $\mathcal{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a b c d}=\frac{\mathcal{R}}{2}\left(h_{a c} h_{b d}-h_{a d} h_{b c}\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and second, that under a Weyl rescaling $\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \mathcal{R}$ transforms as $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}-\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\xi})$. Choosing $\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ such that $\mathcal{R}=\nabla^{2} \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ (locally, this is always possible) thus implies $R_{a b c d}=0 \forall a, b, c, d$. This means we can always transform the worldsheet so that locally, it resembles flat space. Once space is flat, we can transform coordinates, i.e. apply a diffeomorphism to bring the metric into Minkowskian shape $h_{a b}=\eta_{a b}$. This procedure of fixing the metric is called (partially) fixing the gauge.

- It leaves a large residual gauge symmetry generated by the conformal Killing vectors $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ mentioned above. Since these leave the metric invariant, they still represent an unphysical gauge symmetry in our description even after the metric has been fixed.
- Worldsheets may exhibit topological obstructions to fixing the metric globally. In this case there remain parameters in the metric, so-called moduli, which cannot be removed by a conformal rescaling and diffeomorphisms. These moduli are the global properties of worldsheets that account for string interactions (mentioned in item 2 of the central properties of string theory).
- In flat gauge $h_{a b}=\eta_{a b}$, the Polyakov action reduces to the action of $d$ free scalar fields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{P}}[X]=\frac{T}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi\left[\left(\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{2}-\left(\partial_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Lightcone coordinates $\xi^{ \pm}=\tau \pm \sigma$ are convenient, e.g. when treating closed string mode expansions with right- and left-moving modes $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{ \pm}$(+ right-moving, - left-moving). The metric in lightcone gauge reads

$$
h_{ \pm \pm}=0, \quad h_{ \pm \mp}=-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \text { i.e. } \boldsymbol{h}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\frac{1}{2}  \tag{9}\\
-\frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{h}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -2 \\
-2 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

yielding the line element $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=h_{a b} \xi^{a} \xi^{b}=-\mathrm{d} \tau^{2}+\mathrm{d} \sigma^{2}=-\mathrm{d} \xi^{+} \mathrm{d} \xi^{-}$. The Jacobian of the transformation $\binom{\tau}{\sigma} \rightarrow\binom{\xi^{+}}{\xi^{-}}=\binom{\tau+\sigma}{\tau-\sigma}$ from worldsheet to lightcone coordinates has determinant

$$
|\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{J})|=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{\tau} \xi^{+} & \partial_{\sigma} \xi^{+}  \tag{10}\\
\partial_{\tau} \xi^{-} & \partial_{\sigma} \xi^{-}
\end{array}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\right|=2 .
$$

Thus the measure becomes $\mathrm{d}^{2} \xi=\mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{d} \sigma=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi^{+} \mathrm{d} \xi^{-}$and the partial derivatives are $\partial_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\tau} \pm \partial_{\sigma}\right)$.

- The Polyakov action and energy-momentum-tensor in lightcone coordinates read

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{P}}[X]=T \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \partial_{+} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{X}, \quad T_{ \pm \pm}=-\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{ \pm} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial_{ \pm} \boldsymbol{X} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tracelessness translates into $T_{ \pm \mp}=0$, and conservation into $\partial_{\mp} T_{ \pm \pm}=0 \Rightarrow T_{ \pm \pm}\left(\xi^{ \pm}\right)$. It is important to remember that in flat gauge, the metric's equation of motion $T_{a b}=0$ still has to be enforced as constraint. Partially fulfilled already by tracelessness, this only amounts to $T_{ \pm \pm}=0$.

- The conformal Killing equation $(\boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\epsilon})_{a b}=0$ in lightcone gauge, where now $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\left(\epsilon_{+}, \epsilon_{-}\right)$, becomes the statement $\partial_{ \pm} \epsilon_{ \pm}=0$. Using $\epsilon^{ \pm}=h^{ \pm a} \epsilon_{a}=h^{ \pm \mp} \epsilon_{\mp}=-2 \epsilon_{\mp}$, this means $\partial_{\mp} \epsilon^{ \pm}=0 \Rightarrow \epsilon^{ \pm}=$ $\epsilon^{ \pm}\left(\xi^{ \pm}\right)$, i.e. the $\epsilon^{ \pm}$are chiral.


### 2.4 Mode expansion

- Varying the Polyakov action (8) w.r.t. to the bosonic string field yields the free wave equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{\tau}^{2}-\partial_{\sigma}^{2}\right) X^{\mu}=0=\partial_{+} \partial_{-} X^{\mu} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided the boundary terms vanish. The closed string has canceling periodic boundaries. The open string requires Neumann $\left(\partial_{\sigma} X^{\mu}=0\right)$ and/or Dirichlet $\left(\delta X^{\mu}=0=\partial_{\tau} X^{\mu}\right)$ boundaries at both ends $\sigma \in\{0, l\}$. Each has a different mode expansion, e.g. the open NN string expansion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\mu}=x^{\mu}+\frac{p^{\mu} \tau}{T l}+i \sqrt{2 \alpha^{\prime}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_{n}^{\mu}}{n} e^{-i \frac{\pi}{l} n \tau} \cos \left(\frac{n \pi \sigma}{l}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

- From $\left\{X^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma), \Pi^{\nu}\left(\tau, \sigma^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{PB}}=\eta^{\mu \nu} \delta\left(\sigma-\sigma^{\prime}\right)$ with $\Pi^{\mu}=T \partial_{\tau} X^{\mu}$, the Poisson bracket for the modes follows as $\left\{\alpha_{m}^{\mu}, \alpha_{n}^{\nu}\right\}_{\mathrm{PB}}=-i m \eta^{\mu \nu} \delta_{m,-n}$ (for both left- and right-movers). Also, $\left\{x^{\mu}, p^{\nu}\right\}_{\mathrm{PB}}=\eta^{\mu \nu}$.
- Inserting the $\partial_{ \pm} X^{\mu}$ that result from (13) into $T_{ \pm \pm}$from (11) yields the mode expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{ \pm \pm}=4 \alpha^{\prime} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_{m}^{ \pm} e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{l} m \xi^{ \pm}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of the Virasoro generators $L_{m}$. The equation of motion (or constraint if $h_{a b}$ is fixed) $T_{a b}=0$ thus implies the Virasoro constraints $L_{m}^{ \pm}=0 \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- In particular, the Hamiltonian, which for the open string reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{op}}=\frac{\pi}{l} L_{0}=\frac{\pi}{l} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n},=\frac{\pi}{l}\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \neq 0} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right)=\frac{\pi}{l}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \boldsymbol{p}^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

must vanish due to $T_{a b}=0$ which implies the (classical open string) mass shell condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=-\boldsymbol{p}^{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}=\frac{N}{\alpha^{\prime}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For closed strings, $H_{\mathrm{cl}}=\frac{2 \pi}{l}\left(L_{0}^{+}+L_{0}^{-}\right) \propto \partial_{+}+\partial_{-} \propto \partial_{\tau} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$ implements time reparametrization inv.

## 3 Bosonic string quantization

- There are three popular ways to quantize string theory, each with its own merits and downsides.

1. In the (old) canonical quantization, the Virasoro constraints are not implemented until we reach the quantum level. This manifestly retains the Lorentz covariance of the classical theory, but a unitary quantum theory is ensured only in a critical number of spacetime dimensions $d_{\text {crit }}$.
2. Lightcone quantization enforces the Virasoro constraints already at the classical level, resulting in a manifestly unitary quantum theory. But Lorentz covariance holds only in $d=d_{\text {crit }}$.
3. (Modern) path-integral quantization uses the Faddeev-Popov gauge fixing procedure. Criticality becomes equivalent to closure of the BRST algebra, which can occur only in $d=d_{\text {crit }}$.

### 3.1 Canonical quantization

- Canonical quantization promotes all fields to operators and postulates the replacement $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathrm{PB}} \rightarrow$ $\frac{1}{i}[\cdot, \cdot]$, resulting in the canonical commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[X^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma), \Pi^{\nu}\left(\tau, \sigma^{\prime}\right)\right]=i \eta^{\mu \nu} \delta\left(\sigma-\sigma^{\prime}\right), \quad\left[\alpha_{m}^{\mu}, \alpha_{n}^{\nu}\right]=m \eta^{\mu \nu} \delta_{m,-n}, \quad\left[x^{\mu}, p^{\nu}\right]=i \eta^{\mu \nu} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Reality $X^{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$ at the classical level implies hermiticity $\left(X^{\mu}\right)^{\dagger}=X^{\mu}$ at the quantum level which in turn requires $\left(\alpha_{m}^{\mu}\right)^{\dagger}=\alpha_{-m}^{\mu}$. This carries over to the Virasoro generators $L_{m}^{\dagger}=L_{-m}$.
- As always, this procedure is terribly ambiguous because there is nothing to tell us the 'correct' order within products of noncommuting operators. Hence we simply define the normal ordering to be

$$
\mathrm{N}\left(\alpha_{m}^{\mu} \alpha_{n}^{\nu}\right)= \begin{cases}\alpha_{m}^{\mu} \alpha_{n}^{\nu} & \text { for } m \leq n,  \tag{18}\\ \alpha_{n}^{\nu} \alpha_{m}^{\mu} & \text { for } n<m,\end{cases}
$$

and use this prescription to promote the Virasoro generators to the quantum theory as the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{~N}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{m-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actual ambiguity arises only in $L_{0}$ because modes $\alpha_{m}^{\mu}, \alpha_{n}^{\nu}$ are noncommuting only if $m=-n$ and for $m \neq 0$ By defining $L_{0}^{\mathrm{cl}}=L_{0}^{\mathrm{qu}}-a^{3}$, where $a$ follows from

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{0}^{\mathrm{cl}} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1}\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n}+\eta_{\mu \nu} \underbrace{\left.\alpha_{-n}^{\mu}, \alpha_{n}^{\nu}\right]}_{-n \eta^{\mu \nu}}\}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}  \tag{20}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{~N}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right)+\frac{d}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n=L_{0}^{\mathrm{qu}}-a,
\end{align*}
$$

we capture the ambiguity in a divergent normal ordering constant fixed by renormalization later.

- The Virasoro algebra formed by the quantum Virasoro generators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{m}, L_{n}\right]=(m-n) L_{m+n}+\frac{c}{12} m\left(m^{2}-1\right) \delta_{m,-n} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a central extension by $\mathbb{C}$ of the classical Witt algebra $\left\{L_{m}, L_{n}\right\}_{\mathrm{PB}}=(m-n) L_{m+n}$ satisfied by the classical Virasoro generators. The central charge $c=\eta^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=d$ is given by the number of scalars $X^{\mu}$. The fact that $c \neq 0$ indicates a quantum anomaly of the worldsheet's conformal symmetry.

- To exclude negative norm states from the physical Hilbert space and ensure a unitary theory, we impose (with Ehrenfest's theorem in mind) the physical state condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{m}-a \delta_{m, 0}\right)|\phi\rangle=0 \quad \forall m \geq 0 \text { and } \forall|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\text {phys }} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Since the (quantum) mass shell condition arises from the level-zero Virasoro constraint, the normal ordering constant $a$ affects the string mass. The structure of the physical Hilbert space is a tower of string excitations with increasing mass according to the number of excitations counted by $N$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\mathrm{op}}^{2}|\phi\rangle=\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}}(N-a)+T^{2} \Delta x^{2}\right)|\phi\rangle . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T^{2} \Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{2}$ is the energy contribution from the string's tension, nonzero only for states stretched between noncoincident D-branes. The closed string states with $M_{\mathrm{cl}}^{2}|\phi\rangle=\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(N^{+}+N^{-}-a\right)|\phi\rangle$ are organized by the level matching condition $\left(N^{+}-N^{-}\right)|\phi\rangle=0$.

[^1]- For $a>0$, the vacuum $|0, \boldsymbol{p}\rangle$ of bosonic string theory is tachyonic with $M^{2}=-\frac{a}{\alpha^{\prime}}$. This is not inconsistent, but signals an instability of the (naive) vacuum. Such a theory rapidly decays.
- Analysis of the level-zero Virasoro constraint on a first-excited level state $|\phi\rangle=\xi_{\mu} \alpha_{-1}^{\mu}|0, \boldsymbol{p}\rangle$ reveals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{0}-a\right)|\phi\rangle=\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}^{2} / 2+\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-1}-a\right)|\phi\rangle=\left(\alpha^{\prime} \boldsymbol{p}^{2}+1-a\right)|\phi\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{p}^{2}=\frac{a-1}{\alpha^{\prime}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The level-one constraint evaluates to the requirement of transverse polarization $\boldsymbol{\xi}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}|\phi\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left(\cdots+\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}+\ldots\right)|\phi\rangle=\sqrt{2 \alpha^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}|\phi\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

All higher constraints are vacuous (automatically satisfied). Since for $a>1$ we have $\boldsymbol{p}^{2}>0$, we can choose $\boldsymbol{p}$ such that $p^{0}=0$. Then a purely $\xi^{0}$-polarized state fulfills $\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}=0$, but, due to $\langle\phi \mid \phi\rangle=\langle 0, \boldsymbol{p}|\left(\xi_{\mu} \alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\right)^{\dagger}\left(\xi_{\mu} \alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\right)|0, \boldsymbol{p}\rangle=\xi^{\mu} \xi_{\mu}$, has negative norm for every $\xi^{0}>0$. Thus $a \leq 1$ is necessary for a unitary quantum theory. For $|\phi\rangle$ twice excited, we similarly find we need $d \leq 26$.

### 3.2 Lightcone quantization

- It is convenient in this procedure to introduce lightcone coordinates also for spacetime:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(X^{0} \pm X^{d-1}\right), \quad X^{i}, i \in\{1, \ldots, d-2\} ; \quad \eta_{ \pm \mp}=-1=\eta_{\mp \pm}, \quad \eta_{i j}=\delta_{i j} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\boldsymbol{X} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}=-2 X^{+} X^{-}+\sum_{i}\left(X^{i}\right)^{2}=-2 X^{+} X^{-}+\boldsymbol{X}_{\perp}^{2}$.

- The key idea of lightcone quantization is to use the infinite dimensional residual symmetry generated by the conformal Killing vectors fulfilling eq. (5) to gauge away an infinite number of oscillator degrees of freedom, i.e. we set $\alpha_{n}^{+}=0 \forall n \neq 0$. This is possible because $\tau=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi^{+}+\xi^{-}\right)$fulfills the string field's e.o.m. $\partial_{+} \partial_{-} \tau=0$. We can thus find a conformal Killing transformation that reshapes the worldsheet so that its time-axis agrees with one of the spacetime coordinates, say $X^{+}$, i.e. $X^{+}=\frac{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}}{l} p^{+} \tau+x^{+}$(which is just the mode expansion with all modes except $\alpha_{0}^{+}$set to zero).
- Of course, this procedure generally breaks Lorentz covariance as it singles out one coordinate!
- But it enables solving the Virasoro constraints at the classical level. By (11), $T_{a b} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2\left(\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{X} \pm \partial_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{+}\left(\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{X} \pm \partial_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-}+\left(\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{X} \pm \partial_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{X}\right)_{\perp}^{2} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the string field expansion turns the Virasoro constraints into an interdependence of modes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n}^{-}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \alpha^{\prime}} p^{+}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d-2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n-m}^{i} \alpha_{m}^{i} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Inserting spacetime lightcone coordinates into the flat-gauge Polyakov action from eq. (8) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{T}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi\left[\left(\partial_{\tau} \boldsymbol{X}\right)_{\perp}^{2}-\left(\partial_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{X}\right)_{\perp}^{2}\right]-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} \tau p^{+} \partial_{\tau} q^{-} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the standard quantization procedure gives canonically conjugate variables $X^{i} \leftrightarrow \Pi^{i}$ and $p^{+} \leftrightarrow \partial_{\tau} q^{-}$with $q^{-}=\frac{1}{l} \int_{0}^{l} \mathrm{~d} \sigma X^{-}$. Eq. (28) and $L_{m}$ quantize as $\alpha_{n-m}^{i} \alpha_{m}^{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}\left(\alpha_{n-m}^{i} \alpha_{m}^{i}\right)-a \delta_{m, 0}$.

- Since the Virasoro constraints are implemented explicitly, all excitations created by transverse modes $\alpha_{-m}^{i}$ are automatically physical and the spectrum is manifestly free of ghosts.
- Criticality in lightcone quantization follows from requiring Lorentz covariance. A long calculation reveals that the Lorentz algebra is non-anomalous only if $d=26, a=1$.
- The quantized Hamiltonian for open NN strings is $H=\frac{\pi}{l}\left(L_{0}-a\right)$. It needs to be renormalized due to the divergent $a$. First, we regularize with a cutoff $\Lambda: a=\frac{d-2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n=$ $\lim _{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d-2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(e^{-\frac{\pi}{l \Lambda}}\right)^{n}$. Using $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n q^{n}=q \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n}=\frac{q}{(1-q)^{2}}$, this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{l} a=\lim _{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi}{l} \frac{d-2}{2} \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi}{l \Lambda}}}{\left(1-e^{-\frac{\pi}{l \Lambda}}\right)^{2}}=\lim _{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d-2}{2}\left(\frac{l}{\pi} \Lambda^{2}-\frac{\pi}{l} \frac{1}{12}+\mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The divergent $\Lambda^{2}$-term scales with $l$. It can be absorbed by adding (via renormalization) a cosmological constant counterterm $S_{\text {cc }} \propto \Lambda^{2} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{-h}$ to the bare Polyakov action. ${ }^{4}$
- The finite term is only present due to the finite size of the string (it disappears for $l \rightarrow \infty$ ). There exists no local counterterm that could be added to absorb it. This term is therefore physical and defines the Casimir energy of the string as $\frac{\pi}{l} a=\frac{\pi}{l} \frac{d-2}{24}$.
- For mixed rather than pure NN boundary conditions, the normal ordering constant increases by $\frac{1}{24}$ per NN-/DD-dimension and decreases by $-\frac{1}{48}$ per ND-/DN-dimension. Thus $a_{\text {tot }}=\frac{d-2}{24}-\frac{n_{\mathrm{ND}}+n_{\mathrm{DN}}}{16}$.


### 3.3 String spectrum

- In a $d$-dimensional Lorentz covariant theory, states form irreducible representations of the subgroup $\mathbb{S}$ - called little group or stabilizer - of the $d$-dimensional Poincaré group $S O(1, d-1) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^{1, d-1}$ that leave their momentum $p^{\mu}$ invariant. Depending on whether $p^{\mu}$ is space-/light-/timelike, $\mathbb{S}$ is:

1. For $\boldsymbol{p}^{2}>0$, we can Lorentz transform to get $\boldsymbol{p}=(0, p, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and hence $\mathbb{S}=S O(1, d-2)$. An example is the tachyonic ground state $|0, \boldsymbol{p}\rangle$ with $\boldsymbol{p}^{2}=\frac{a}{\alpha^{\prime}}$, a scalar of the little group $S O(1,24)$.
2. For $\boldsymbol{p}^{2}=0$ we can rotate coordinates so that $\boldsymbol{p}=(p, p, 0, \ldots, 0)$, i.e. $\mathbb{S}=S O(d-2)$. States of this type are the first-level massless transverse excitations $\xi_{i} \alpha_{-1}^{i}|0, \boldsymbol{p}\rangle$. They are spacetime vectors in the fundamental representation (denoted $\square$ ) of $\mathbb{S}=S O(24)$.
3. $\boldsymbol{p}^{2}<0$ admits $\boldsymbol{p}=(p, 0, \ldots, 0)$, i.e. $\mathbb{S}=S O(d-1)$. All massive states (i.e. at second excited level or higher) form irreducible representations of $\mathbb{S}=S O(25)$. E.g. a second-level state of the form $\left(\xi_{i} \alpha_{-2}^{i}+\zeta_{i j} \alpha_{-1}^{i} \alpha_{-1}^{j}\right)|0, \boldsymbol{p}\rangle$ has $24+\frac{24}{2}(24+1)=324$ polarization degrees of freedom which combine into the symmetric traceless representation (denoted $\square \square$ ) of $S O(25)$.

- A $\mathbf{D} p$-brane is a $(p+1)$-dimensional hypersurface on which open strings can end, fixing them in place in the dimensions normal to it. DD boundary conditions allow for momentum flow off the string ends which implies that D-branes are dynamical (albeit non-perturbative) objects themselves.
- Open string spectrum: 1 . The presence of a single $\mathrm{D} p$-brane allows the following low-level states ${ }^{5}$ :
- The ground state $|0, \boldsymbol{p}\rangle$ can have nonzero momentum $\boldsymbol{p}$ only in NN dimensions along the brane.
- Excitations $\xi_{i} \alpha_{-1}^{i}|0, \boldsymbol{p}\rangle$ parallel to the brane for $i \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ form a massless vector from the perspective of the $\mathrm{D} p$-brane. Its interactions identify it as a gauge potential. Thus a single brane hosts a $U(1)$ gauge theory! Excitations normal to the brane $\xi_{a} \alpha_{-1}^{a}|0, \boldsymbol{p}\rangle$ for $a \in\{p, \ldots, 24\}$ form $24-p$ massless scalars. They are the Goldstone bosons associated with spontaneous breaking of the 26 -dimensional Poincaré symmetry by the brane.

2. Strings stretched between parallel $\mathrm{D} p$-branes located at $x_{1}^{a}$ and $x_{2}^{a}$ receive a mass contribution $T^{2} \sum_{a}\left(x_{2}^{a}-x_{1}^{a}\right)^{2}$ from tension, rendering the above gauge and Goldstone excitations massive.
3. For $N$ coincident $\mathrm{D} p$-branes, states need to be labelled by Chan-Paton factors $r, s \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ enumerating the branes to keep track of the boundaries. We get the same states listed under 1 , but $N^{2}$ copies of each, i.e. $N^{2}$ massless vectors and $N^{2}(24-p)$ massless scalars. The vectors enhance the original $U(1)$ gauge symmetry to a non-Abelian $U(N)$. States can be expanded in terms of the $N^{2}$ hermitian $N \times N$-Chan-Paton-matrices $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{a}$ that span the Lie algebra of $U(N) .{ }^{6}$

- Closed string spectrum: The polarization two-tensor $\xi_{i j}$ of the first excited level decomposes into irreducible representations of the little group $S O(24): \xi_{i j}=g_{i j}+B_{i j}+\phi \delta_{i j}$, where $g_{i j}$ is symmetric traceless and describes massless, transversely polarized spin 2 particles, i.e. gravitons, while the antisymmetric $B_{i j}$ is called Kalb-Ramond tensor field and models a generalized (i.e. higher-rank) gauge potential. Lastly, $\phi$, the trace part of $\xi_{i j}$, is a scalar field called the dilaton.

[^2]
### 3.4 Covariant quantization

- The modern covariant approach to quantization utilizes the path integral which is particularly suitable for theories with gauge symmetries, and a powerful tool to compute string interactions.
- The naive partition function $Z=\int \mathcal{D} X \mathcal{D} h e^{i S_{\mathrm{P}}[X, h]}$ overcounts due to gauge-equivalent configurations of the auxiliary field $h_{a b}$. The solution is to isolate the integral over gauge space, in this case over all diffeomorphisms $\epsilon_{a}$ and Weyl rescalings $\Lambda$, and cancel it by division with the gauge group's volume. This can be achieved with the Faddeev-Popov gauge fixing procedure and yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\int \mathcal{D} X \operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{P}) e^{i S_{\mathrm{P}}[X, \hat{h}]} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\hat{h}_{a b}$ an arbitrary reference metric, and $\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{P})$ the Faddeev-Popov determinant, stemming from the Jacobian of the transformation used to factor out $\mathcal{D} \epsilon$ and $\mathcal{D} \Lambda$ and cancel with $\mathrm{Vol}_{\text {diff }}^{-1}$ Weyl ,

$$
\mathcal{D} h \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \epsilon \mathcal{D} \Lambda \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \Lambda)}{\partial(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \Lambda)}\right)=\mathcal{D} \epsilon \mathcal{D} \Lambda \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{P} & 0  \tag{32}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

- We assumed every metric $h_{a b}$ can be transformed to $\hat{h}_{a b}$ for precisely one combination of $\epsilon_{a}$ and $\Lambda$. There is, however, a double mismatch: 1. The conformal Killing vectors generate as yet unfixed residual gauge transformations which leave the metric invariant and must not be integrated over to avoid overcounting. 2. For worldsheets of complicated topology the metric contains global properties - the moduli - not accounted for by local gauge transformations. For topologies more complicated than the vacuum, we must sum over these moduli by hand.
- By introducing the Grassmann-valued Faddeev-Popov ghost $c^{a}(\xi)$ and antighost $b_{a b}(\xi), \operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{P})$ can be expressed as the Berezin integral $\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{P})=\int \mathcal{D} b \mathcal{D} c e^{\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi(-\hat{h})^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{b} \cdot(\boldsymbol{P} \cdot c)}$ with which (31) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\int \mathcal{D} X \mathcal{D} b \mathcal{D} c e^{i\left(S_{\mathrm{P}}+S_{g}\right)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c^{a}(\xi)$ and $b_{a b}(\xi)$ are anti-commuting, fermionic fields with integer spin in violation of the spinstatistics theorem, thus producing negative norm states. They are governed by the ghost action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{g}=\frac{-i}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi(-\hat{h})^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{h}^{a b} c^{d} \nabla_{a} b_{b d} \stackrel{\operatorname{lcg}}{=} \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi\left(c^{+} \partial_{-} b_{++}+c^{-} \partial_{+} b_{--}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equations of motion $\nabla^{a} b_{a b}=0=\partial_{\mp} b_{ \pm \pm}$and $P_{a b}^{c} c_{c}=0=\partial_{\mp} c^{ \pm} \Rightarrow c^{a}$. The latter tells us that the ghost vectors $c^{a}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the conformal Killing vectors $\epsilon_{a}$.

- Ghosts and antighosts are canonically conjugate fields. Their modes $b_{n}, c_{n}$ fulfill the anti-commutation relations $\left\{c_{m}, b_{n}\right\}=\delta_{m,-n}$ and $\left\{c_{m}, c_{n}\right\}=\left\{b_{m}, b_{n}\right\}=0$. I.t.o. the ghost modes, the ghost Virasoro generators read $L_{m}^{g}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}(m-n) \mathrm{N}\left(b_{m+n} c_{-n}\right)$. The $L_{m}^{g}$ satisfy the ghost Virasoro algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{m}^{g}, L_{n}^{g}\right]=(m-n) L_{m+n}^{g}+\frac{m}{6}\left(1-13 m^{2}\right) \delta_{m,-n} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining $L_{m}^{\text {tot }}=L_{m}^{X}+L_{m}^{g}-a^{\text {tot }} \delta_{m,-n}$ yields the combined ghost and bosonic Virasoro algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{m}^{\mathrm{tot}}, L_{n}^{\mathrm{tot}}\right]=(m-n) L_{m+n}^{\mathrm{tot}}+\left[\frac{c^{\mathrm{tot}}}{12} m\left(m^{2}-1\right)+2 m\left(a^{\mathrm{tot}}-1\right)\right] \delta_{m,-n} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with central charge $c^{\text {tot }}=c^{X}+c^{g}$ where $c^{g}=-26, c^{X}=d$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1, d-1}$, and total normal ordering constant $a^{\text {tot }}=a^{X}+a^{g}$, where $a^{g}=+\frac{1}{12}$ and $a^{X}=\frac{d}{24}$ in a covariant gauge that treats $X^{ \pm}$as independent d.o.f. The presence of a central term signals a Weyl anomaly of the full action $S_{\mathrm{P}}+S_{g}$ and, hence, the path integral. But we used Weyl invariance to factor out the integration over gaugeequivalent metrics. Self-consistency thus requires that the central term vanishes which is the case precisely in $d=26$ (this really fixes the central charge $c^{X}$ and only indirectly constrains $d$ ).

- In path integral quantization of gauge theories, the physical state condition is implemented via the BRST symmetry, a global, fermionic, residual symmetry of the full action $S_{\mathrm{P}}+S_{g}$ invariant under

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\epsilon} X^{\mu}=\epsilon\left(c^{+} \partial_{+}+c^{-} \partial_{-}\right) X^{\mu}, \quad \delta_{\epsilon} c^{ \pm}=\epsilon\left(c^{+} \partial_{+}+c^{-} \partial_{-}\right) c^{ \pm}, \quad \delta_{\epsilon} b_{ \pm \pm}=i \epsilon\left(T_{ \pm \pm}^{X}+X_{ \pm \pm}^{g}\right), \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a global Grassmann-valued parameter. BRST invariance is present even after gauge fixing $h_{a b}=\eta_{a b}$. It is generated by the nilpotent, Hermitian, conserved charge $Q_{B}$ via the brackets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\epsilon} X^{\mu}=\epsilon\left[Q_{B}, X^{\mu}\right], \quad \delta_{\epsilon} c^{ \pm}=\epsilon\left\{Q_{B}, c^{ \pm}\right\}, \quad \delta_{\epsilon} b_{ \pm \pm}=\left\{Q_{B}, b_{ \pm \pm}\right\} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

A long calculation reveals that nilpotence $Q_{B}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{Q_{B}, Q_{B}\right\} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$, as required for consistency of the BRST symmetry, is equivalent to absence of the Weyl anomaly, i.e. zero central extension in (36).

- A physical state must be gauge invariant. Since $Q_{B}$ acts on $X^{\mu}$ like the residual gauge transformations generated by conformal Killing vectors, a physical state must be invariant under a BRST transformation, i.e. $Q_{B}|\phi\rangle=0 \forall|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\text {phys }}$. This is not sufficient, however. As in quantization of Yang-Mills theory, we find that due to nilpotence, all states in $\mathcal{H}$ lie either in the kernel $\operatorname{ker}\left(Q_{B}\right)$ or image $\operatorname{Im}\left(Q_{B}\right)$ of $Q_{B}$. The latter states are null, i.e. orthogonal to all states including themselves. The physical (positive-norm) Hilbert space is given by the cohomology of $Q_{B}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{phys}}=\mathcal{C}\left(Q_{B}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{ker}\left(Q_{B}\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(Q_{B}\right)} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

States differing only by elements of $\operatorname{Im}\left(Q_{B}\right)$ are in the same equivalence class and transform into one another by gauge transformations.

## 4 Conformal field theory

- Conformal symmetry is invariance under rescalings which requires a theory to be free of intrinsic length, mass or energy scale, including the absence of massive excitations. Examples are 1. the string worldsheet, 2. fixed points of the renormalization group equations, 3. critical points in condensed matter and statistical physics, where the correlation length diverges, and 4. the AdS/CFT correspondence relates gravity on anti-de Sitter spaces to a conformal field theory on the boundary.
- Conformal transformations (henceforth conformals) are diffeomorphisms $g_{\mu \nu}(x) \rightarrow \partial_{\mu^{\prime}} x^{\alpha} \partial_{\nu^{\prime}} x^{\beta} g_{\alpha \beta} \stackrel{!}{=}$ $\Lambda(x) g_{\mu \nu}(x)$ that change the metric only up to a factor $\Lambda(x)=e^{\omega(x)}$. Infinitesimally, this becomes $\partial_{\mu} \epsilon_{\nu}+\partial_{\nu} \epsilon_{\mu}=\omega(x) g_{\mu \nu}$ where we expanded $x^{\mu}=x^{\prime \mu}+\epsilon^{\mu}(x)$ and $e^{\omega(x)}=1+\omega(x) . \omega(x)$ satisfies constraints one of which is vacuous in $d=2$ making the group of conformals less restrictive, so much so that its volume becomes infinite. This allows to solve some CFTs in $d=2$ completely.
- The set of infinitesimal conformals includes translations, Lorentz transformations, dilations (rescalings), and special conformals (inversion, translation, followed by another inversion).


### 4.1 Conformal group in $d=2$

- In $d=2$, after compactifying the plane $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ with a point at infinity to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$, a globally defined conformal can be parametrized by $z \rightarrow \frac{a z+b}{c z+d}$ where invertibility requires $a b-c d \neq 0$. Since rescaling to $a b-c d=1$ and $(a, b, c, d) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}}(-a,-b,-c,-d)$ does not affect the transformation, the conformal group on $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ is the Möbius group is $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})=S L(2, \mathbb{C}) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. It is generated by $l_{-1}, l_{0}, l_{1}$, where the generators $l_{n}=-z^{n+1} \partial_{z}$ fulfill the classical Witt algebra. An important property of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$-invariance is that it can be used to map any three distinct points to any other three distinct points. In scattering amplitudes, this allows us to remove the residual gauge redundancy by fixing positions of asymptotic in- and out-states, implemented via vertex operators.
- By a Wick rotation $\tau \rightarrow-i \tau$, the metric becomes Euclidean $\eta_{a b}=\delta_{a b}$ and $\xi^{ \pm}=-i(\tau \pm i \sigma)$. The conformal map $\tau+i \sigma \rightarrow e^{\frac{2 \pi}{l}(\tau+i \sigma)}$ then projects the cylinder (worldsheet of a freely propagating closed string) to the compactified plane $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\} \cong \mathbb{S}^{2}$. Similarly, the strip (worldsheet of a freely propagating open string) is conformally mapped to the (upper) half plane $\mathbb{H}$ by $\tau+i \sigma \rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi}{l}(\tau+i \sigma)}$. Both maps translate time ordering on the cylinder/strip to radial ordering on the plane.


### 4.2 Primary fields and operator product expansion

- Primary fields transform as tensors $\phi(z, \bar{z}) \rightarrow \phi^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}, \bar{z}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\partial_{z} f\right)^{-h}\left(\partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f}\right)^{-\bar{h}} \phi(z, \bar{z})$ under a conformal $z \rightarrow z^{\prime}=f(z)$, where $h, \bar{h}$ are the conformal weights of $\phi(z, \bar{z}) . h+\bar{h}$ is the field's mass dimension, $h-\bar{h}$ its conformal spin. For infinitesimal $f(z)=z+\epsilon(z)$, this is $\delta_{\epsilon, \bar{\epsilon}} \phi=\left(h \partial_{z} \epsilon+\epsilon \partial_{z}+\bar{h} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{\epsilon}+\bar{\epsilon} \partial_{\bar{z}}\right) \phi$.
- Combined with operator product expansions, primaries can be used to express all higher $n$-point functions i.t.o. lower correlators; this enables defining a CFT i.t.o. a finite amount of input data.
- Quasi-primary fields behave like primaries, but only for $f \in P S L(2, \mathbb{C})$.
- The string field $X^{\mu}$ itself is not a primary (nor even a quasi-primary), but $\partial X^{\mu}$ and $\mathrm{N}\left(e^{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}}\right)$ are.
- A purely left-/right-moving field $\phi\left(\xi^{-}\right) / \phi\left(\xi^{+}\right)$on the cylinder corresponds to a chiral/antichiral primary field $\phi(z) / \phi(\bar{z})$ of weight $h / h$ on the plane with Laurent series $\phi(z)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{n} z^{-n-h}$.
- In a CFT, the structure of the operator product expansion (OPE) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \mathcal{O}_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)=\sum_{k} C_{i j}^{k}\left(\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|\right) \mathcal{O}_{k}\left(x_{k}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

is particularly powerful due to three properties that stem from conformal invariance: 1. The OPE of two quasi-primaries involves only other quasi-primaries and their derivatives, the descendant fields. 2. The functional dependence of the structure constants $C_{i j}^{k}$ is completely fixed. 3. The OPE is an exact expression with radius of convergence equal to the distance of the next field insertion.

- By Wick's theorem, the radially ordered operator product $\mathrm{R}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}\right)$ can be expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}\right)=\mathrm{N}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}+\sum_{j \neq k}^{n}\left\langle\phi_{j} \phi_{k}\right\rangle \prod_{i \neq j, k}^{n} \phi_{i}+\sum_{j \neq k, l \neq m}^{n}\left\langle\phi_{j} \phi_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{l} \phi_{m}\right\rangle \prod_{i \neq j, k, l, m}^{n} \phi_{i}+\ldots\right\} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.3 Conformal Ward identities

- Ward identities are general statements that appear in any QFT: given a symmetry transformation, be it a global field shift $\phi \rightarrow \phi+\epsilon \delta \phi$, a gauge transformation $A^{\mu} \rightarrow A^{\mu}+\partial^{\mu} \alpha(x)$ as in QED, or a conformal as discussed here, the Ward identities tell us how the correlation functions transform. Since a symmetry implies that correlations should remain unchanged, this usually results in constraints on the scattering amplitudes, as e.g. in QED, where the Ward identities require $k^{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\mu}=0$ for any scattering $\mathcal{M}=\xi^{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ with an external photon of momentum $k^{\mu}$ and polarization $\xi^{\mu}$.
- By the conformal Ward identity, the behavior of a field $\phi(z)$ (chiral for brevity) under a conformal $z \rightarrow z+\epsilon(z)$ is encoded in the residua of its OPE with the energy-momentum tensor $T(z)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\epsilon} \phi(w)=\int_{C_{w}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} z}{2 \pi i} \epsilon(z) \mathrm{R}[T(z) \phi(w)] . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For $\phi(w)$ primary of weight $h$, this gives $\mathrm{R}[T(z) \phi(w)]=\frac{h \phi(w)}{(z-w)^{2}}+\frac{\partial_{w} \phi(w)}{z-w}+$ terms regular at $z=w$.
- The energy-momentum tensor's OPE with itself follows from the Virasoro algebra $\left[L_{m}, L_{n}\right]$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z) T(w)=\frac{c / 2}{(z-w)^{4}}+\frac{2 T(w)}{(z-w)^{2}}+\frac{\partial_{w} T(w)}{z-w} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $T(z)$ is a primary of $h=2$ only if $c=0$. Otherwise it is quasi-primary. (Here, we expanded $T(z)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_{n} z^{-n-2}$ and used $L_{n}=\oint \frac{\mathrm{d} z}{2 \pi i} T(z) z^{n+1}$.)

### 4.4 Operator-state correspondence

- The operator-state correspondence is an isomorphism in two-dimensional CFTs that relates the action of primary fields on the $P S L(2, \mathbb{C})$-invariant vacuum to asymptotic in- and out-states:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{\text {in }}\right\rangle=\phi(0)|0\rangle=\phi_{-h}|0\rangle, \quad\left\langle\phi_{\text {out }}\right|=\langle 0| \phi(0)=\langle 0| \phi_{h}, \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we took $\phi(z)$ to be a primary of weight $h$ with expansion $\phi(z)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{n} z^{-n-h}$. The modes $\phi_{n}, n>-h$ act as annihilators since $\phi_{n}|0\rangle=0 \forall n>-h$. Modes $\phi_{n}$ with $n \leq-h$ are creators.

- A primary state $|\phi\rangle=\phi(0)|0\rangle=\phi_{-h}|0\rangle$ is eigenstate of the level-zero Virasoro generator $L_{0}$ with eigenvalue $h$, i.e. $L_{0}|\phi\rangle=h|\phi\rangle$. Using $\left[L_{m}, \phi_{n}\right]=[m(h-1)-n] \phi_{m+n}$, we can show that for $m>0$ $(m<0)$ the other $L_{m}$ act on these so-called highest-weight states as annihilators (creators):

$$
L_{0}|\phi\rangle=h|\phi\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases}L_{m}|\phi\rangle=0 & \forall m>0  \tag{45}\\ L_{0} L_{-m}|\phi\rangle=(m+h)|\phi\rangle & \forall m \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

The complete Hilbert space is obtained by acting with $L_{-m}, m>0$ on all highest weight states $\left|\phi_{j}\right\rangle$, where $j$ labels all primary fields.

- Requiring BRST-invariance of the $X$-CFT gives as physical state condition $L_{m}|\phi\rangle=0 \forall m>0$ and $\left(L_{0}-1\right)|\phi\rangle=0$. This demonstrates that physical states are in one-to-one correspondence with primaries of weight $h=1$, leading to the concept of a vertex operator as a primary field of $h=1$.
- For instance, $\mathrm{N}\left(e^{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}}\right)$ ] is a primary of weight $h=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{4} \boldsymbol{k}^{2} . h \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ implies $M^{2}=-\frac{4}{\alpha^{\prime}}$. Inserted at $z=0$, this creates the closed string ground state from the vacuum.
$-\mathrm{N}\left[\partial X^{\mu}(z) e^{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}(z)}\right]$ is a primary of weight $h=1+\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{4} \boldsymbol{k}^{2}$. As a vertex operator with $h \stackrel{!}{=} 1$, this implies $M^{2}=0$, i.e. this vertex operator produces first-excited level states from the vacuum.
- The Verma module $V_{h_{j}}$ is the span of all states that are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{j}^{k_{1} \ldots k_{m}}\right\rangle=\prod_{i}^{m} L_{-k_{i}}\left|\phi_{j}\right\rangle \quad \text { with weight } \quad h_{V}=h_{j}+\sum_{i}^{m} k_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad k_{i}>0 . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\left|\phi_{j}^{k_{1} \ldots k_{m}}\right\rangle$ are created by the descendant fields $\phi_{j}^{k_{1} \ldots k_{m}}(z)$, i.e. derivatives of quasi-primaries. For ascending $k_{i}$, i.e. $k_{1} \geq k_{2} \geq \cdots \geq k_{m}$, the $\left|\phi_{j}^{k_{1} \ldots k_{m}}\right\rangle$ are linearly independent.

- CFT unitarity requires the conformal anomaly to fulfill $c>0$ and the spectrum of primaries $\phi_{j}$ to have all non-negative weights, $h_{j} \geq 0 \forall j$. Finally, $h_{\phi}=0$ must imply $\phi=\mathbb{1}$, i.e. only the $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$-invariant vacuum may have conformal dimension $h=0$.
- A two-dimensional CFT is completely specified by its conformal anomaly $c$, the spectrum of primary fields $\phi_{j}$, their weights $h_{j}$, and their OPE coefficients $C_{i j}^{k}$. In particular, no action $S_{\mathrm{CFT}}$ is needed.


## 5 String interactions

- A key property of string scattering is the absence of interaction vertices which fundamentally distinguishes it from point-particle scattering in QFT. Locally, the string worldsheet always looks like that of a freely propagating string! Only global properties of the worldsheet capture interactions, which are hence encoded already in the free two-dimensional CFT without adding arbitrary further terms to the action as in QFT.
- As an important result, correlators of different fields (bosons, fermions, ghosts) decouple! This is completely different from e.g. Yang-Mills which suffers from complicated ghost-gauge interactions.
- The path integral for the computation of scattering amplitudes usually accounts for the initial and final states by admitting only those worldsheets into the sum over histories that asymptote towards the specified in- and out-configurations. Thanks to the operator-state correspondence, the worldsheet CFT allows for a simpler procedure: We consider trivial boundary conditions, i.e. the vacuum, as asymptotic in- and out-states and specify the type of scattering process solely by inclusion of vertex operators in the integrand, corresponding to states being created at different places on the worldsheet


### 5.1 String perturbation and worldsheet topologies

- String perturbation aims to calculate the S-matrix of a scattering process. The loop expansion corresponds to a sum over compact worldsheets of increasingly complex topology, but each with the same number of vertex operators inserted on the surface (closed string) or boundary (open string).
- Which topologies to sum over is determined by the central theorem: Every compact, connected, oriented two-dimensional manifold is topologically equivalent to a sphere with $g$ handles ( $g$ for genus) $a n d b$ boundaries. In fact, $b$ and $g$ fully determine a worldsheet's Euler characteristic $\chi=2-2 g-b$, a topological invariant unaffected by continuous deformations of the worldsheet metric. According to the Riemann-Roch theorem, it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \xi}{4 \pi} \sqrt{-h} \mathcal{R}+\int_{\partial \Sigma} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{2 \pi} k \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathcal{R}$ the Ricci scalar of the worldsheet's surface and $k$ the geodesic curvature of its boundary.

- For instance, the tree-level and one-loop worldsheet topologies of the oriented string are

| sector | tree-level | one-loop |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| open | disk $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ with $(b, g)=(0,1), \chi=1$ | cylinder $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with $(b, g)=(0,2), \chi=0$ |
| closed | sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ with $(b, g)=(0,0), \chi=2$ | torus $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ with $(b, g)=(1,0), \chi=0$ |

- Incorporating all of the above statements results in a heuristic expression for $n$-string scattering,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j_{i}}\left(k_{i}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\text { compact } \\ \text { topologies }}} \frac{\int \mathcal{D} X \int \mathcal{D} h}{\operatorname{Vol}_{\text {diff } \times \text { Weyl }}} e^{-S_{\mathrm{P}}-\lambda \chi} \prod_{i=1}^{n} V_{j_{i}}\left(k_{i}\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi$ (added to the action without affecting dynamics) modifies the usual weighting factor $e^{-S_{\mathrm{P}}}$ to take into account the worldsheet topology. This is before gauge-fixing, hence the factor $\mathrm{Vol}_{\text {diff } \times \text { Weyl }}^{-1}$.

### 5.2 Metric moduli of Riemann surfaces

- $\boldsymbol{P}^{\dagger}$ defines the adjoint of the conformal Killing operator $\boldsymbol{P}$. It maps two-tensors $t_{a b}$ to vectors via $\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{t}\right)=\nabla^{b} t_{a b}$. If there exists a symmetric, traceless $\boldsymbol{t}_{0}$ such that $\boldsymbol{P}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{t}=0$, then for an arbitrary transformation $\epsilon_{a}$, we have $0=\left\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \boldsymbol{P}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{t}_{0}\right\rangle=\left(\boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mid \boldsymbol{t}_{0}\right)$, meaning $\boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{t}_{0}$ for all $\epsilon_{a}$, i.e. no transformation can be found to obtain the two-tensor $\boldsymbol{t}_{0}$. Such tensors are called metric moduli. They represent deformations of the metric that cannot be reached by any diffeomorphism or Weyl rescaling. Similar to the ghost e.o.m. $P_{a b}^{c} c_{c}=0$ which identifies normalizable ghost solutions $c_{a}$ as conformal Killing vectors, the antighost e.o.m. shows that the normalizable zero-modes of the $b_{a b}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the metric moduli.
- A Riemann surface is a one-dimensional complex manifold (can be thought of as a deformed version of the complex plane). Its degrees of freedom are given by the number of metric moduli.
- The Riemann-Roch theorem goes on to state that the number $\mu=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker} \boldsymbol{P}^{\dagger}\right)$ of moduli and $\kappa=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} \boldsymbol{P})$ of conformal Killing vectors of (orientable) Riemann surfaces fulfill

$$
\mu-\kappa=-3 \chi \quad \text { and } \quad \begin{cases}\mu=0 & \text { if } \chi>0  \tag{49}\\ \kappa=0 & \text { if } \chi<0\end{cases}
$$

- Deriving the gauge-fixed S-matrix via the Faddeev-Popov procedure yields the prescription:

1. For each conformal Killing vector field $\epsilon_{a}$ on the worldsheet, we fix one vertex operator $V_{j_{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right)$ at $\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i}$ and replace the integral over the insertion point $\int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi_{i}$ by a ghost field $c_{i}^{a}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i}\right)$ also at $\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{i}$. Alternatively we can keep the integral but divide by the volume of the remaining gauge group.
2. For each modulus $\boldsymbol{t}^{a}$, we insert an antighost field via $\left(\boldsymbol{b} \mid \partial_{a} \hat{\boldsymbol{h}}\right)$ and integrate over the fundamental domain $\int_{F} \mathrm{~d} t^{a}$ (which ensures only topologically inequivalent worldsheets enter the path integral).

- For example, the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ is the Riemann surface of maximal Euler number. Since $\chi>0$, it is moduli-free $\mu=0$, i.e. no antighost insertions are necessary in $S$-matrix calculations on $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ (this holds for all tree-level scatterings in string theory). $\kappa=3 \chi=6$ shows that the sphere is endowed with six conformal Killing vector fields. We count real degrees of freedom, so this is consistent with the gauge group on $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ being $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ with three independent complex parameters $(a b-c d \stackrel{!}{=}$ 1), each of infinite range. With less than three integrated vertex operators, nothing cancels this infinity in the S-matrix denominator. Hence, the oriented closed string $0-$, $1-$, and 2 -point functions vanish at tree-level, meaning no vacuum energy, tadpole, and mass renormalization.


### 5.3 Duality and UV finiteness

- In string theory, amplitudes are completely symmetric in all channels $(s, t, u)$ and exhibit an infinite number of (simple) poles (due to the appearance of $\Gamma$-functions such as $\Gamma\left(-1-\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{4} s\right)$ ) corresponding to resonances for every mass in the string spectrum, a property called channel duality.
- As a result, a single worldsheet diagram captures what in QFT requires $s$ - , $t-$, and $u$-channel Feynman diagrams and a sum over resonances of the propagator $\frac{i}{p^{2}-m^{2}}$. Compared to pointparticle QFT, this leads to a much faster (exponential) fall off of string amplitudes; a feature partially responsible for UV finiteness of string loop diagrams. Heuristically, strings behave differently in the hard-scattering limit because high-energy processes probe on scales of the order of the string length where the string acts as a nonlocal object.
- Another reason for improved UV behavior is modular invariance, i.e. invariance of the worldsheet topology under the action of the modular group (e.g. $P S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the torus) which acts as an intrinsic regulator (UV cutoff) for the theory by excluding divergent areas of the moduli space from the fundamental domain.
- Some UV divergences do arise in string perturbation but they are no issue for UV finiteness due to worldsheet duality between the open and closed channel: all UV divergent diagrams turn out to be IR divergences of dual diagrams. For instance, the cylinder is the worldsheet topology for both tree-level closed string and one-loop open string scatterings.


### 5.4 Strings in curved target space

- The non-linear $\sigma$-model describes the bosonic string field $X^{\mu}$ propagating on a curved target space with deviations from the flat metric $\eta_{\mu \nu}$ generated by a coherent state of its own massless graviton fluctuations. Including also the other massless excitations into the Polyakov action yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\sigma}=\frac{1}{4 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{h}\left\{\left[h^{a b} g_{\mu \nu}(X)+i \epsilon^{a b} B_{\mu \nu}(X)\right] \partial_{a} X^{\mu} \partial_{b} X^{\nu}+\alpha^{\prime} \mathcal{R} \phi(X)\right\} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the closed $\sigma$-model action with $g_{\mu \nu}$ the graviton, $B_{\mu \nu}$ the antisymmetric Kalb-Ramond field (coupled to an equally antisymmetric worldsheet tensor $\epsilon^{a b}$ ), and $\phi$ the dilaton. $\phi(X)$ appears as a generalization of the hitherto unspecified $\lambda$ in the topological term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \chi=\frac{\lambda}{4 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{-h} \mathcal{R} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{-h} \mathcal{R} \phi(X) . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Importantly, the string coupling, which determines the probability of strings to split and reconnect, is therefore really given by $g_{s}=e^{\phi(X)}$, i.e. the coupling is dynamical (not a constant) and determined by the vacuum expectation value of the string field itself, more precisely that of the dilaton. This holds generally in string theory (not just for $S_{\sigma}$ ).

- Consistency of the $\sigma$-model requires the absence of a Weyl anomaly, i.e. the classical scale invariance must carry over to the quantum theory. This is the case if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\mu \nu}^{g}(E)=E \frac{\partial}{\partial E} g_{\mu \nu}(X, E)=\alpha^{\prime} R_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{O}\left[\left(\alpha^{\prime} / R_{c}\right)^{2}\right] \stackrel{!}{=} 0, \quad(E \text { the energy scale }) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. if the spacetime metric's $\beta$-function vanishes. Thus consistency to first order in $\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{R_{c}}$ (with $R_{c}$ the radius of the compact target space) requires that $g_{\mu \nu}$ is governed by Einstein's equations $R_{\mu \nu}=0$ for the vacuum (we set all other fields $B_{\mu \nu}(X)=\phi(X)=0$ to obtain this result). Continuing the expansion systematically yields stringy higher-curvature corrections to $R_{\mu \nu}=0$.

## 6 Superstring theory

- Bosonic string theory is only a toy model due to its lack of fermionic excitations and unstable vacuum (signalled by the tachyonic ground state), both of which are in conflict with observations.


### 6.1 Classical RNS action

- To overcome these problems, superstring theory adds a fermionic part to the Polyakov action $S_{\mathrm{P}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{F}}[\psi]=-\frac{i}{4 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \bar{\psi}_{A}^{\mu} \gamma_{A B}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \psi_{B, \mu} \stackrel{\operatorname{lcg}}{=} \frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \cdot \partial_{-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}+\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \cdot \partial_{+} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right) . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\psi_{ \pm}$are Grassmann-valued Majorana-Weyl, i.e. real and chiral spinors, governed by the Dirac eq. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\psi}=0=\partial_{\mp} \psi_{ \pm}$. By definition, spinors furnish a representation of the Clifford algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\gamma^{\alpha}, \gamma^{\beta}\right\}_{A B}=2 \eta^{\alpha \beta} \mathbb{1}_{A B} \quad(A, B \text { spinor indices, } \alpha, \beta \in\{0,1\} \hat{=}\{\tau, \sigma\} \text { WS vector indices. }) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ are chiral both in the sense that $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}=\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}\left(\xi^{ \pm}\right)$and that $\gamma \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}= \pm \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}$, where $\gamma=\gamma^{0} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{1}$. They have mass dimension $[\psi]=\frac{1}{2}$ as opposed to bosons with $[X]=-1$.

- The full superstring action $S_{\mathrm{RNS}}=S_{\mathrm{P}}+S_{\mathrm{F}}$ features supersymmetry which relates $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta X^{\mu}=i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2}} \bar{\epsilon}_{A} \psi_{A}^{\mu}=i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2}}\left(\epsilon_{+} \psi_{-}^{\mu}-\epsilon_{-} \psi_{+}^{\mu}\right), \quad \delta \psi_{A}^{\mu}=\frac{\epsilon_{B}}{\sqrt{2 \alpha^{\prime}}} \gamma_{A B}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha^{\prime}}} \epsilon_{\mp} \partial_{ \pm} X^{\mu}, \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi})=\left(\epsilon_{+}, \epsilon_{-}\right)$an infinitesimal Grassmann-valued Majorana spinor subject to the chirality condition $\gamma^{\beta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi})=0=\partial_{\mp} \epsilon_{ \pm}$. Outside superspace, i.e. for gauge-fixed action as in (53), supersymmetry holds only on-shell. The associated conserved Noether charges $Q_{A}$ are spinorial and act as generators $\left\{Q_{A}, \bar{Q}_{B}\right\}=2 \gamma_{A B}^{a} P_{a}$ with the momentum operator $P_{a}$ generating translations.

### 6.2 Super-conformal invariance

- Local diffeomorphism invariance combined with supersymmetry implies local supersymmetry. It gives rise to supergravity in which also the metric $h_{\alpha \beta}$ has a superpartner, the gravitino. The full action $S_{\text {RNS }}$ then enjoys local super-Weyl and diffeomorphism invariance. Moving to flat gauge, only a residual super-conformal symmetry generated by the energy-momentum tensor $T_{ \pm \pm}=-\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{ \pm} \boldsymbol{X} \cdot \partial_{ \pm} \boldsymbol{X}-\frac{i}{2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm} \cdot \partial_{ \pm} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}$and the supercurrent $J_{ \pm}=\frac{-1}{2 \alpha^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm} \cdot \partial_{ \pm} \boldsymbol{X}$ remains in which supersymmetry is only chiral $\epsilon_{ \pm}=\epsilon_{ \pm}\left(\xi^{ \pm}\right)$. $T_{ \pm \pm}$and $J_{ \pm}$obey the super-Virasoro constraints $T_{ \pm \pm} \stackrel{!}{=} 0, J_{ \pm} \stackrel{Y}{=} 0$. These must be imposed on solutions of the e.o.m.s even in flat gauge.


### 6.3 Ramond and Neveu-Schwarz sectors

- All results derived for the bosonic string in section 2 remain valid. The fermionic equation of motion follows from variation of $S_{\mathrm{F}}$ which, after partial integration, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta S_{\mathrm{F}}=-\left.\frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{\tau_{i}}^{\tau_{f}} \mathrm{~d} \tau\left[\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right]\right|_{0} ^{\sigma=l}+\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi\left[\partial_{-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}-\partial_{+} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right] . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

To avoid nonlocal physics, the boundary term has to vanish, i.e. $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}-\left.\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right|_{0} \stackrel{!}{=} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}-$ $\left.\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}\right|_{l}$. For the closed sector, periodic boundaries $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}^{\mu}(\sigma)= \pm \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}^{\mu}(\sigma+l)$ take care of this. Since $\psi_{ \pm}^{\mu}$ are spinors on the worldsheet, there is a possibility of picking up a sign by walking around the string, corresponding to antiperiodic boundaries. Parametrizing $\psi_{ \pm}(\sigma+l)=e^{2 \pi i \phi_{ \pm}} \psi_{ \pm}(\sigma)$, we call
$-\phi_{ \pm}=0$ the periodic Ramond sector. It has an integer mode expansion (like bosonic strings) of the form $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}\left(\xi^{ \pm}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{l}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{b}_{n}^{ \pm} e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{l} n \xi^{ \pm}}$. Its degenerate vacuum $|0\rangle_{\mathrm{R}}$ is a Majorana spinor with $2^{\frac{d}{2}}$ real components, furnishing a representation of the $d$-dimensional Clifford algebra.

- $\phi_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}$ the antiperiodic Neveu-Schwarz sector. It features a half-integer mode expansion $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ \pm}\left(\xi^{ \pm}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{l}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{b}_{n}^{ \pm} e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{l} n \xi^{ \pm}}$. The vacuum $|0\rangle_{\mathrm{NS}}$ is unique and a spacetime scalar.
- We can independently choose either boundary type for each of the two spinor components $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{+}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-}$of $\psi_{A}^{\mu}$, yielding four sectors total: the pure R-R and NS-NS sectors describe bosonic excitations, whereas mixed boundaries of the type R-NS and NS-R contain fermionic excitations.
For the open string, the boundary terms in (56) have to vanish separately. Derivation of the mode expansions yields identical results up to a change of period from $e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{l} n \xi^{ \pm}} \rightarrow e^{-i \frac{\pi}{l} n \xi^{ \pm}}$.
- Contributions (per dimension) to the normal ordering constant $a$ for different strings are as follows

| statistics | bosonic | fermionic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| periodic | $+\frac{1}{24}$ | $-\frac{1}{24}$ |
| antiperiodic | $-\frac{1}{48}$ | $+\frac{1}{48}$ |

### 6.4 GSO projection

- The GSO projection is a method to construct a consistent superstring theory by projecting out all but a subset of possible vertex operators in the worldsheet CFT. For consistency of the CFT on the worldsheet, the set $\mathbb{A}$ of operators retained must satisfy
- Closure: The OPE of any two operators $\phi_{i}, \phi_{j}$ in $\mathbb{A}$ may contain only operators $\phi_{k} \in \mathbb{A}$.
- Locality: No OPE of any two operators in $\mathbb{A}$ may suffer from branch cuts (absence of monodromies). This is necessary to ensure all OPEs are well-defined, i.e. single-valued everywhere.
- Modular invariance: The partition function on the two-torus of the theory containing only the operators in $\mathbb{A}$ must be invariant under the action of the modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.
Starting from the same worldsheet CFT, different GSO projections will lead to string theories with different physical particles. To build models of realistic string vacua, a GSO projection should eliminate the tachyonic ground state of the string and preserve spacetime supersymmetry.
- For the closed oriented superstring, GSO projection results in Type II A/B theory. They feature equal numbers of bosons and fermions (128 each at the massless level) as required for supersymmetry, as well as two spin $3 / 2$ fields, the gravitinos which imply local supersymmetry. Thus, the lowenergy limit of Type II is a supergravity. Worldsheet consistency and vacuum stability imply $d=10$.
- There is one crucial difference between the Type II and the Type 0 theories. In Type II, the ( $N S_{-}, N S_{-}$) sector which contains the tachyonic ground state is projected out. Type II is hence tachyon-free. Type 0 theories still contain the ( $N S_{-}, N S_{-}$) sector and its tachyon. This does not render them inconsistent, but dynamically unstable; a universe described by Type 0 rapidly decays at the beginning of the universe and plays no role in the sequel. Type 0 can therefore be discarded.
- In total, there are only five consistent superstring theories known in $d=10$. They are listed in the following table along with some of their properties.
The superstring was troubled by the existence of five separate theories until in 1995, it was discovered at the beginning of the second superstring revolution that the theories are related by dualities and might be different limits of a single underlying so-called M-theory. This remains a conjecture.

| string theory | $d$ | SUSY generators | chiral | open strings | gauge group | tachyon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| closed bosonic | 26 | $N=0$ | no | no | none | yes |
| open bosonic | 26 | $N=0$ | no | yes | $U(1)$ | yes |
| type I | 10 | $N=(1,0)$ | yes | yes | $S O(32)$ | no |
| type IIA | 10 | $N=(1,1)$ | no | no | $U(1)$ | no |
| type IIB | 10 | $N=(2,0)$ | yes | no | none | no |
| heterotic HO | 10 | $N=(1,0)$ | yes | no | $S O(32)$ | no |
| heterotic HE | 10 | $N=(1,0)$ | yes | no | $E 8 \times E 8$ | no |
| M-theory | 11 | $N=1$ | no | no | none | no |

## 7 Compactification, T-duality, D-branes

- The superstring in $d=10$ gives rise to a fully consistent theory of quantum gravity and Yang-Mills theory, unique up to dualities. It fulfills all prerequisites we pose on a unified theory of all four forces. The only problem is that spacetime does not exhibit 10 large dimensions. To connect the superstring to observations thus requires investigating how the extra 6 spatial dimensions might be compactified, i.e. wound up so tightly as to escape experiment.


### 7.1 Kaluza-Klein compactification

- Compactification in superstring theory is the operation $\mathbb{R}^{1,9} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times \mathcal{M}^{6}$. The compactified manifold $\mathcal{M}^{6}$ is called internal space. Its structure determines the value of the dilaton $\phi$.
- To see this, consider a massless scalar field theory $\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi\left(x^{\mu}\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1, d}$ with dimension $d$ rolled up in a circle of radius $R$, i.e. $x^{d}=x^{d}+2 \pi R$. The corresponding compactification is $\mathbb{R}^{1, d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1, d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$ with internal space $\mathbb{S}^{1}$. For a diffeomorphism invariant theory, this has three consequences:

1. The most general ansatz for $\phi\left(x^{\mu}\right)$ that respects the spacetime periodicity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x^{\mu}\right)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{n}\left(x^{j}\right) e^{i \frac{n}{R} x^{d}} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu \in\{0,1, \ldots, d\}, j \in\{0,1, \ldots, d-1\}$. Insertion into the e.o.m. $\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi\left(x^{\mu}\right)=0$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{j} \partial^{j} \phi_{n}\left(x^{j}\right)=\frac{n^{2}}{R^{2}} \phi_{n}\left(x^{j}\right) \quad \forall n \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we get an infinite collection of massive scalars $\phi_{n}\left(x^{j}\right) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m^{2}=\frac{n^{2}}{R^{2}}$ from the perspective of the $d$-dimensional theory. These constitute the Kaluza-Klein tower of states. Only the zeroth Fourier-mode $\phi_{0}\left(x^{j}\right)$ is massless and independent of $x^{d}$.
As $R \rightarrow 0$, the mass of even the lowest state diverges, $m_{1}^{2} \rightarrow \infty$, meaning the entire tower disappears from the low-energy spectrum. At energies $m^{2} \ll \frac{1}{R}$ the theory looks just $d$-dimensional. This is the realm of the low-energy effective field theory.
2. There appears an extra $U(1)$ symmetry in the $d$ dimensional theory.
3. From the $d$-dimensional perspective, the $g_{d d}$-component of the full metric tensor behaves like a massless scalar field that determines the volume of $\mathbb{S}^{1}$. Such flat scalar fields whose vacuum expectation values determine geometric properties of the internal space are called moduli fields.

- In the presence of compactified dimensions, there exist truly stringy winding states stretching around the compact dimension. This is a specialty of string theory and not possible with pointparticles. Such states are necessarily closed with independent left-/right-moving modes $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{ \pm}$and mass $M^{2}=\frac{\omega^{2} R^{2}}{\alpha^{\prime 2}}$, where $\omega$ is the winding number. Winding costs energy due to the string tension.
- These winding states exhibit very special behavior in the limit $R \rightarrow 0$. While the Kaluza-Klein tower (a purely field theoretic effect) disappears from the low-energy spectrum, which would make an originally $d+1$-dimensional field theory effectively $d$-dimensional upon compactification, the winding states become light and excitable due to $M^{2} \propto R^{2}$. Thus a string theory remains $d+1$-dimensional even after compactification to an internal space with $R \rightarrow 0$.
- One-dimensional compactification onto $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ can be generalised to multi-dimensional compactification, e.g. onto a torus $\mathbb{T}^{d}=\mathbb{S}^{1} \ldots \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$. Of course, toroidal compactification is yet another special case. The larger $d$, the more possibilities for general internal spaces $\mathcal{M}$ exist. Of special interest to the superstring are six-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds, on which string propagation is successfully described by special internal CFTs, so called Gepner models.


### 7.2 T-duality

- T-duality is the operation $n \leftrightarrow \omega$ and $R \leftrightarrow R^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{R}$, which exchanges the momenta of the KaluzaKlein tower and the winding states. It is an exact symmetry that (for the closed bosonic string) acts as parity on right-moving modes of the compactified dimension $x^{d}$, i.e. $p_{L}^{d} \rightarrow p_{L}^{d}, p_{R}^{d} \rightarrow-p_{R}^{d}$ which extends to $X_{L}^{d} \rightarrow X_{L}^{d}, X_{R}^{d} \rightarrow-X_{R}^{d}$.
- Physically, since the spectrum and all interactions are left invariant, T-duality relates processes at $R<\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}}$ to those occurring at $R>\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}}$. This establishes a minimal distance $R=\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}}$, the self-dual radius. There is no point to distances smaller than $R$ in string theory because we can always map all processes at smaller scales back to bigger distances.
- For Type IIA/B superstrings, T-duality similarly dons a sign to right-movers, $X_{R}^{9} \rightarrow-X_{R}^{9}, \psi_{R}^{9} \rightarrow$ $-\psi_{R}^{9}$. It also flips their chirality and therefore transforms the various superstring sectors as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}^{ \pm}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}^{\mp}\right), \quad\left(\mathrm{NS}^{+}, R^{ \pm}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{NS}^{+}, \mathrm{R}^{\mp}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

This exchanges Type IIA and Type IIB theory! More precisely, Type IIB on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ with radius $R$ under T-duality corresponds to Type IIA on $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}^{1}$ with radius $\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{R}$.

### 7.3 D-branes as dynamical objects

- D-branes are dynamical objects that gravitate by coupling to closed strings in the NS-NS sector, i.e. they have mass. Moreover, they are charged under R-R (i.e. periodic string) $p$-form potentials.
- That D-branes must by dynamical is clear already from their momentum exchange with DD-branes. However, the linkage goes deeper. The worldvolume of a D-brane undergoes fluctuations. These are generated by the quantum fluctuations of open string excitations normal to the brane, which describe massless scalar fields propagating along the brane. These are the above-mentioned modulus fields whose vacuum expectation values determine the position of branes.
- Describing D-branes via an open + closed string CFT is adequate for small string coupling $g_{s}$ that allows for a perturbative expansion. For large $g_{s}$, branes attain large masses and start backreacting substantially on the geometry of ambient spacetime, thus forming so-called black brane solutions in supergravity (higher-dimensional generalizations of $d=4$ black hole solutions in Einstein gravity).
- Intersecting brane worlds are an important tool in string phenomenology to make contact between $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. The key idea behind them is that various D-branes can intersect along some subspace that contains $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, endowing this space with interesting gauge theories and matter content. In fact, the structure turns out to be naturally that of the standard model! A stack of three branes $D_{A}, D_{B}, D_{C}$ intersecting along $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ gives rise to a $U\left(N_{A}\right) \times U\left(N_{B}\right)$ Yang-Mills theory plus one chiral fermion transforming in the bifundamental representation $\left(\bar{N}_{A}, N_{B}\right)$. For $N_{A}=3, N_{B}=2$, $N_{C}=1$, this reproduces the gauge group $S U(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1)_{Y}$ of the standard model.
- While the string consistency conditions single out a unique theory (up to dualities) in 10 dimensions, every 4 -dimensional effective theory obtained from this by compactification corresponds to a choice of vacuum, i.e. to a dynamical solution of the 10 -dimensional theory. The set of all $d=4$-solutions is called the landscape of string vacua.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ General covariance is the paradigm that the form of physical laws should be invariant under arbitrary differentiable coordinate transformations. This statement is motivated by the conviction that coordinates don't exist in nature, and are only artifices of our description. Hence, which ones we choose should play no physical role.
    ${ }^{2}$ In point-particle theories, the sharp localization of vertices is responsible for the appearance of divergent amplitudes.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3} L_{0}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ and $L_{0}^{\text {qu }}$ are both quantum operators. The superscripts merely indicate that $L_{0}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ has the structure of the classical Virasoro generators without normal-ordering prescription whereas $L_{0}^{\text {qu }}$ does, i.e. is precisely the one defined in (19).

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ We have to cancel the divergent term entirely to preserve conformal invariance: A nonzero cosmological constant term would break conformal symmetry already at the classical level. $a \neq 0$ also breaks conformal invariance, but only in the form of an acceptable anomaly at the quantum level.
    ${ }^{5}$ The lightcone coordinates $X^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(X^{0} \pm X^{25}\right)$ must lie in NN dimensions for a treatment within lightcone quantiz.
    ${ }^{6}$ In orientifolded theories, also $S O(N)$ and the symplectic $S p(2 N)$ are possible gauge groups of coincident branes.

